

FACT SHEET: Project 2025 and the 2024-2025 SCOTUS Term

Project 2025 poses an all-out assault on the rights of millions of Americans. Its reach extends to the Supreme Court, where this term, the Court is set to hear a series of cases impacting gun safety, health care, LGBTQ+ rights, and more, including cases directly connected to Project 2025. This memo outlines a selection of these cases and will be updated throughout the Supreme Court term. For more on the Supreme Court term, visit Democracy Forward's [People's Guide to the 2024-2025 Supreme Court Term](#).

Project 2025 and Cases Before the Supreme Court

The Supreme Court has agreed to hear the following cases. In each case, Project 2025 board members are amici.

[Garland v. VanDerStok](#)

Case Overview: Questions whether the Fifth Circuit Court's decision to halt enforcement of a rule regulating 'ghost guns' is permissible and how that may impact the ease of purchasing the kits to make these guns.

→ **2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amici:** Gun Owners Foundation, National Rifle Association.

[U.S. v. Skrametti](#)

Case Overview: Considers whether a Tennessee law banning medical treatments meant to help minors transition to another gender violates the Equal Protection Clause under the Fourteenth Amendment.

→ **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amici:** America First Legal Foundation, Alliance Defending Freedom, Claremont Institute's Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence, Concerned Women for America, Defense of Freedom Institute, Ethics and Public Policy Center, American Principles Project, Association of Mature American Citizens, Independent Women's Law Center, Family Research Council, First Liberty Institute.

Cases Pending Supreme Court Consideration (as of October 21, 2024)

There are at least an additional seven cases with certiorari petitions pending that have Project 2025 advisory board members as either parties or amici.

[Turco v. City of Englewood](#)

Case Overview: Evaluates whether the City of Englewood's protest buffer zones around abortion clinics violate the First Amendment.

→ **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amici:** American Center for Law and Justice.

[Avraham Goldstein v. Professional Staff Congress/CUNY](#)

Case Overview: Involves First Amendment challenges from CUNY professors, brought by legal organizations who seek to weaken union representation.

- **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amicus:** National Right to Work Legal Defense Foundation

[Bradley Little, Governor of Idaho, et al. v. Lindsay Hecox, et al.](#)

Case Overview: Debates whether a law barring transgender girls and women from female sports teams at public school and establishing an invasive sex dispute verification process violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

- **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members as Amici:** Alliance Defending Freedom, America First Legal Foundation

[Parents Protecting Our Children, UA v. Eau Claire Area School District](#)

Case Overview: Evaluates whether parents can challenge a school district's policy for not specifically requiring parental notification if a student changes their name or pronouns at school. Lower courts rejected this case for lack of standing because none of the parents bringing suit were impacted by the policy.

- **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amici:** America First Legal Foundation, Concerned Women for America

[Christi Jacobsen, Montana Secretary of State v. Montana Democratic Party](#)

Case Overview: Asks the Court to consider adopting the independent state legislature theory regarding two voter suppression laws in Montana.

- **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amici:** America First Legal Foundation

[Dale Folwell, State Treasurer of North Carolina, et al. v. Maxwell Kadel](#)

Case Overview: Considers whether denying health coverage for gender affirming treatments violates the Equal Protection Clause under the Fourteenth Amendment.

- **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amici:** Ethics and Public Policy Center.

[Coalition Life v. City of Carbondale](#)

Case Overview: Calls into question whether the Court should overrule *Hill v. Colorado*, in which the Court upheld an abortion clinic buffer-zone law against a First Amendment challenge.

- **Project 2025 Advisory Board Members Serving as Amici:** American Center for Law and Justice, Claremont Institute's Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence.

Read our full report on Project 2025 [here](#), including [comprehensive research](#) on Project 2025's plans to attack reproductive rights, workplace protections, education, and more.

To speak with us about this report or how our team of legal experts will challenge the people and organizations behind Project 2025, email dgrahamcaso@democracyforward.org.