1	BRIAN M. BOYNTON Acting Assistant Attorney General	Samara Spence* (DC Bar No. 1031191) Jeffrey B. Dubner* (DC Bar No. 1013399)
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General	Sean A. Lev* (DC Bar. No. 449936)
3	ERIC BECKENHAUER	Democracy Forward Foundation
	Assistant Branch Director Civil Division	P.O. Box 34553 Washington, DC 20043
4	CIVII DIVISIOII	sspence@democracyforward.org
5	STEVEN A. MYERS (NY Bar # 4823043)	jdubner@democracyforward.org
6	Senior Trial Counsel	slev@democracyforward.org
	United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch	(202) 701-1785 (202) 448-9090
7	1100 L St. NW	(232) 113 232
8	Washington, DC 20005	Counsel for Plaintiffs
9	Tel: (202) 305-8648 Fax: (202) 616-8470	Additional counsel listed on signature block
10	E-mail: steven.a.myers@usdoj.gov	* Admitted pro hac vice
10	Attorneys for Defendants	
11	Allorneys for Defendants	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,) Case No. 5:21-cv-01655-BLF
16	Plaintiffs,) STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
17) STAYING CASE
18	V.	<u> </u>
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND)
19	HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,	ý
20	Defendants.)
21		-
22		
	Subject to the Court's approval and pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, the parties, by and through their	
23	undersigned counsel of record, hereby STIPULATE as follows:	
24	1. This is an Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") case in which Plaintiffs challenge a final	
25		
26	rule promulgated by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") entitled Securing	
27	Updated and Necessary Statutory Evaluations Timely, 86 Fed. Reg. 5694 (Jan. 19, 2021) (the "SUNSET	
	Rule"). The SUNSET Rule provides, in essential part, that nearly all regulations issued by HHS in Titles	
28	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER STAYING CAS CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF	SE

21, 42, and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations shall expire at the end of (1) five calendar years after the year that the SUNSET Rule first becomes effective, (2) ten calendar years after the year of the regulation's promulgation, or (3) ten calendar years after the last year in which HHS assessed and, if required, reviewed the regulation, whichever is latest.

- 2. Plaintiffs filed their complaint challenging the SUNSET Rule on March 9, 2021. See ECF No. 1. Plaintiffs alleged that the SUNSET Rule is *ultra vires*, see id. ¶¶ 123-30; arbitrary and capricious, see id. ¶¶ 131-33; in violation of the APA's notice-and-comment requirements, see id. ¶¶ 134-39; and in violation of HHS's Tribal Consultation Policy, see id. ¶¶ 140-44. Plaintiffs further alleged that the SUNSET Rule threatens imminent and irreparable harm to them and the general public, including by creating regulatory confusion and uncertainty that will impede their ongoing operations, budgeting, and planning activities. See, e.g., id. ¶¶ 100-02; see generally id. ¶¶ 95-122.
- 3. As promulgated, the SUNSET Rule was scheduled to become effective on March 22, 2021. See 86 Fed. Reg. at 5694. Shortly before the SUNSET Rule became effective, HHS postponed its effective date for one year, to March 22, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 705. See 86 Fed. Reg. 15404 (2021). While HHS did not concede liability, HHS stated that it "believes that the Court could find merit in some of Plaintiffs' claims." Id. at 15,405. In particular, HHS stated that, in contrast to its prior findings, it "now believes it is likely some regulations would expire without any additional process" and that this outcome raises legal questions about whether "regulations promulgated through notice and comment rulemaking can be terminated through an umbrella rule without individual consideration of the expiring regulations, including any reliance interests." Id. at 15,406. HHS further stated that it "may have significantly underestimated the burden" of the rule and that the rule's magnitude and timing "may have impeded the full and deliberate consideration of all the potential issues related to the SUNSET rule." Id. HHS currently anticipates issuing, in the coming months, a notice of proposed rulemaking repealing the SUNSET Rule.
- 4. HHS is currently reviewing the Rule in light of Plaintiffs' claims raised in this litigation, and needs additional time to evaluate the claims and its position before taking further steps in this litigation. The parties therefore jointly request a temporary stay of this action. Specifically, the parties jointly request that the Court (1) stay this case through July 30, 2021, including Defendants' answer

1	deadline and all deadlines set out in the Scheduling Order entered on March 9, 2021, as amended by the	
2	Clerk's Notice of March 12, 2021; (2) cancel the Initial Case Management Conference currently set for	
3	July 15, 2021; and (3) direct the parties to file a joint status report proposing a schedule for further	
4	proceedings by July 30, 2021.	
5	Date: April 21, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
6		BRIAN M. BOYNTON Acting Assistant Attorney General
7		ERIC BECKENHAUER
8		Assistant Branch Director Civil Division
9		/s/ Steven A. Myers STEVEN A. MYERS (NY Bar # 4823043)
11		Senior Trial Counsel United States Department of Justice
12		Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L St. NW
13		Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 305-8648
		Fax: (202) 616-8470
14		E-mail: steven.a.myers@usdoj.gov
15		Attorneys for Defendants
16		/s/ Samara Spence
17		Samara Spence* (DC Bar No. 1031191)
18		Jeffrey B. Dubner* (DC Bar No. 1013399) Sean A. Lev* (DC Bar. No. 449936)
19		Democracy Forward Foundation P.O. Box 34553
20		Washington, DC 20043
21		sspence@democracyforward.org jdubner@democracyforward.org
22		slev@democracyforward.org
23		Telephone: (202) 448-9090
24		Counsel for All Plaintiffs
25		James R. Williams (CA Bar No. 271253)
		County Counsel Greta S. Hansen (CA Bar No. 251471)
26		Douglas M. Press (CA Bar No. 168740) Lorraine Van Kirk (CA Bar No. 287194)
27		Office of the County Counsel
28	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER STAYING CASE	County of Santa Clara

CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF

70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Fl. 1 San José, CA 95110-1770 2 lorraine.van kirk@cco.sccgov.org Telephone: (408) 299-5900 3 Counsel for the County of Santa Clara 4 Lisa S. Mankofsky* (DC Bar No. 411931) 5 Matthew Simon* (DC Bar No. 144727) Center for Science in the Public Interest 6 1220 L Street, NW, Ste. 300 7 Washington, DC 20005 lmankofsky@cspinet.org 8 msimon@cspinet.org Telephone: (202) 777-8381 9 10 Counsel for Center for Science in the Public Interest 11 Aaron Colangelo* 12 Adeline S. Rolnick* ** Natural Resources Defense Council 13 1152 15th Street NW, Ste. 300 14 Washington, DC 20005 acolangelo@nrdc.org 15 Telephone: (202) 289-2376 16 Counsel for Natural Resources Defense Council 17 * Admitted pro hac vice 18 ** Supervision by Aaron Colangelo, a member of the D.C. Bar 19 20 21 **LOCAL RULE 5-1(i) ATTESTATION** 22 I attest that I have obtained Samara Spence's concurrence in the filing of this document. 23 /s/ Steven A. Myers Steven A. Myers 24 25 26 27 28

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER STAYING CASE CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The case is STAYED through July 30, 2021, including Defendants' answer deadline and all deadlines set out in the Scheduling Order entered on March 9, 2021, as amended by the Clerk's Notice of March 12, 2021. The initial case management conference currently set for July 15, 2021, is cancelled. The parties shall submit a joint status report proposing a schedule for further proceedings by July 30, 2021. Dated: HON. BETH LABSON FREEMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER STAYING CASE CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF