

1 Jennifer A. Reisch (CA Bar No. 223671)

2 **Equal Rights Advocates**

3 1170 Market Street, Suite 700

4 San Francisco, CA 94102

5 Ph: (415) 621-0672

6 Fax: (415) 621-6744

7 Email: jreisch@equalrights.org

8 Javier M. Guzman*

9 Robin Thurston*

10 Karianne Jones*

11 **Democracy Forward Foundation**

12 1333 H St. NW

13 Washington, DC 20005

14 Ph: (202) 448-9090

15 Fax: (202) 701-1775

16 Emails: jguzman@democracyforward.org, rthurston@democracyforward.org,

17 kjones@democracyforward.org

18 Leecia Welch (CA Bar No. 208741)

19 Alice Y. Abrokwa*

20 **National Center for Youth Law**

21 405 14th Street, 15th Floor

22 Oakland, CA 94612, *and*

23 1313 L Street, NW, Suite 130

24 Washington, DC 20005

25 Ph: (510) 835-8098

26 Fax: (510) 835-8099

27 Emails: lwelch@youthlaw.org, aabrokwa@youthlaw.org

28 Emily Martin*

Neena Chaudhry*

Sunu Chandy*

National Women's Law Center

11 Dupont Circle, NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20036

Ph: (202) 588-5180

Fax: (202) 588-5185

Emails: emartin@nwlc.org, nchaudhry@nwlc.org, schandy@nwlc.org

**pro hac vice*

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

SURVJUSTICE, INC.,
1015 15th Street NW, Suite 632
Washington, DC 20005,

EQUAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES
1170 Market Street, Suite 700
San Francisco, CA 94102,

VICTIM RIGHTS LAW CENTER
520 SW Yamhill Street
Portland, OR 97204,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ELISABETH D. DEVOS, in her official
capacity as Secretary of Education,
400 Maryland Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20202,

KENNETH L. MARCUS, in his official
capacity as Assistant Secretary for Civil
Rights,
400 Maryland Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20202,

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,
400 Maryland Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20202,

Defendants.

Case Number: 3:18-cv-00535-JSC

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. Plaintiffs SurvJustice, Inc., Equal Rights Advocates, and Victim Rights Law Center bring this action against Defendants U.S. Department of Education (“the Department” or “the agency”), Secretary Elisabeth DeVos, and Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights Kenneth L. Marcus seeking vacatur of the Department’s new policy, as expressed in a Dear Colleague Letter

1 and Question and Answers guidance issued on September 22, 2017 (hereinafter jointly referred
2 to as the “2017 Title IX Policy” or “Policy”), concerning Title IX of the Education Amendments
3 of 1972 (“Title IX”).

4 2. Over 45 years ago, Congress enacted Title IX to prohibit discrimination on the basis of
5 sex in educational programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance (hereinafter
6 “recipients” or “educational institutions”). This landmark civil rights law has helped fight sex
7 discrimination and promote equal educational access and opportunities for girls and women from
8 the classroom to the playing field.

10 3. Twenty years ago, acting on the basis of Supreme Court decisions and the recognition
11 that Title IX’s promise of equality is hollow if a student can be subjected to sexual harassment
12 with impunity, the Department issued its first guidance to educational institutions (both K-12
13 schools and institutions of higher education) on the standards that govern their response to sexual
14 harassment, a form of sex discrimination. Since then, through several successive guidance
15 materials issued under Administrations led by both political parties, the Department has
16 reaffirmed that Title IX’s prohibition on sex discrimination requires recipients to prevent and
17 redress sex and gender-based harassment. These policies recognize that students who experience
18 sexual harassment, including in its most extreme form, sexual violence, suffer not only
19 physically and emotionally, but also in their ability to participate in and benefit from educational
20 opportunities, on the basis of their sex.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 4. The reaffirmation of Title IX’s protections continued until September 2017, when the
2 Department formally rescinded sexual violence guidance documents issued in 2011 and 2014
3 and issued new conflicting policy documents to educational institutions.¹

4 5. The 2017 Title IX Policy imposes significant changes on educational institutions and on
5 students to the detriment of survivors of sexual violence. For example, whereas previous
6 Department Title IX guidance advised educational institutions to make available interim
7 measures, such as a revised class schedule or new housing assignment, in order to *protect the*
8 *safety* of students who complain of sexual harassment and preserve their access to an education,
9 the 2017 Title IX Policy prohibits schools from making available interim measures to a
10 complainant unless they are offered “on equal terms” to respondent(s) who are being
11 investigated for sexual misconduct. The 2017 Title IX Policy also removes protections for sexual
12 harassment victims, such as by allowing schools to resolve complaints through mediation
13 between the parties, even in cases of alleged sexual assault, where the pressure to agree to
14 mediation can be coercive.

15 6. The 2017 Title IX Policy, which disproportionately burdens women and girls, was
16 motivated by the baseless and discriminatory but longstanding stereotype that women and girls
17 tend to lie about or exaggerate experiences of sexual assault and harassment. As such, it
18 discriminates on the basis of sex in violation of the equal protection guarantee of the Fifth
19 Amendment of the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs therefore respectfully request that the
20 2017 Title IX Policy be vacated.

21
22
23
24
25

¹ See U.S. Dep’t of Educ., Ltr. from Ass’t Sec’y Candice Jackson (Sept. 22, 2017),
26 <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-title-ix-201709.pdf> (“2017 Dear
27 Colleague Letter”); U.S. Dep’t of Educ., Q&A on Campus Sexual Misconduct (Sept. 22, 2017),
28 <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/qa-title-ix-201709.pdf> (“2017 Q&A”).

Jurisdiction and Venue

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

8. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because Equal Rights Advocates, a plaintiff, resides in San Francisco, California.

Intradistrict Assignment

9. Filing is proper in this Judicial District because Equal Rights Advocates, a plaintiff, is located in San Francisco, California.

Parties

10. **Plaintiff SurvJustice, Inc.**, (“SurvJustice”) is a national not-for-profit organization based in Washington, D.C., founded in 2014. SurvJustice’s mission is to increase the prospect of justice for survivors of sexual violence. It pursues this goal through legal assistance, policy advocacy, and institutional training. Through its efforts, SurvJustice aims to decrease the prevalence of sexual violence throughout the country.

11. SurvJustice provides legal assistance to survivors of sexual violence in campus proceedings, as well as civil and criminal legal systems. The majority of requests for legal assistance that SurvJustice receives are from students at institutions of higher education. SurvJustice staff help sexual violence survivors navigate the campus grievance process, including by reporting the violence; assisting survivors throughout any investigation; advising survivors in campus hearings; helping survivors with any appeals; and helping survivors access accommodations and services from their educational institutions. They frequently serve as “advisors of choice” for college students in institutional disciplinary actions for cases of alleged domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, as provided for by the Clery Act as amended by the 2013 Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act, 20 U.S.C. §

1 1092(f)(8)(B)(iv)(II) (“Clery Act”). SurvJustice staff also represent survivors in civil litigation or
2 refer survivors to other qualified lawyers for such representation. SurvJustice also assists
3 survivors in reporting crimes to law enforcement, in advocating for prosecution, and by serving
4 as media representatives for victims and their families in high-profile criminal cases.

5 12. SurvJustice also trains educational institutions to prevent and address sexual violence
6 through compliance with federal law, enforcement of victims’ rights, and adoption of best
7 practices that include development of a culture that supports survivors and encourages “sexual
8 respect” (*i.e.*, respect in sexual interactions and relationships). As part of this work, SurvJustice
9 has provided the annual training required by the Clery Act to various campus officials across the
10 country who investigate and adjudicate complaints of sexual assault, dating violence, domestic
11 violence, and stalking.
12

13 13. SurvJustice also engages in policy advocacy by providing technical assistance and advice
14 to legislators and policymakers on various state and federal legislation and policy efforts
15 regarding sexual violence, and by working with changemakers within their communities on local
16 policy efforts, especially on college and university campuses.
17

18 14. SurvJustice brings this action on its own behalf because the challenged 2017 Title IX
19 Policy (i) requires resource-intensive efforts that impede its daily operations; (ii) limits the
20 efficacy of available avenues of redress for the students it serves; (iii) increases the costs it bears
21 in its work on behalf of sexual violence survivors—for example, by causing it to waive intake
22 fees and reduce or waive speaking fees; and (iv) otherwise directly conflicts with, impairs, and
23 frustrates SurvJustice’s organizational mission and priorities.
24

25 15. As an organization that provides direct assistance and referral services to survivors of
26 sexual violence, SurvJustice’s core mission and daily operations have been and will continue to
27
28

1 be impeded by the chilling effect that the 2017 Title IX Policy has had and continues to have on
2 the reporting of sexual violence.

3 16. Following and as a result of the 2017 Title IX Policy change, SurvJustice experienced a
4 decrease in the number of sexual violence survivors seeking its services. This trend is borne out
5 by SurvJustice's interactions with particular college and university students who have questioned
6 whether they should continue with their plans to report sexual violence given the uncertainty
7 regarding their legal protections and an anticipated lowered likelihood of success created by the
8 policy change.
9

10 17. Following the 2017 Title IX Policy change and as a result of the change, SurvJustice has
11 provided an increased number of student rights trainings at college and university campuses.
12 These additional trainings are necessary to respond to confusion created by the 2017 Title IX
13 Policy among students about their legal rights. SurvJustice has also significantly reduced its price
14 for doing these trainings or agreed to provide them pro bono in response to increased need
15 resulting from the widespread uncertainty among students regarding their legal protections
16 following the 2017 Title IX Policy change.
17

18 18. SurvJustice has also had to devote significant staff time to reviewing and understanding
19 the 2017 Title IX Policy in order to advise clients in ongoing campus investigations and advocate
20 on their behalf. This shift in use of time due to the change in policy decreased the amount of time
21 that SurvJustice has available to provide legal services, including work on ongoing civil
22 litigation.
23

24 19. The 2017 Title IX Policy makes it more difficult for SurvJustice to accomplish its
25 mission of obtaining justice for survivors of sexual violence, both because it makes beneficial
26
27
28

1 outcomes less likely for survivors and because even where those outcomes are still available,
2 success will take more staff time and effort.

3 20. For example, SurvJustice often advocates for schools to provide accommodations to its
4 clients, including during the pendency of an investigation, so that they can continue to learn
5 safely. SurvJustice often requests unilateral no-contact orders on its clients' behalf but has
6 opposed mutual no-contact orders because they tend to be retaliatory.² Yet the agency's Title IX
7 policy change requires no-contact orders to be mutual, by prohibiting a school from making
8 interim measures available to only one party. SurvJustice has observed schools issuing mutual no
9 contact orders on a regular basis. The 2017 Title IX Policy therefore impedes SurvJustice's
10 mission by making it more difficult for SurvJustice to obtain interim measures that are
11 appropriate for survivors of sexual harassment and to ensure ongoing access to education for its
12 clients in accordance with its mission.
13
14

15 21. In addition, since the 2017 Title IX Policy no longer identifies any benchmarks to
16 determine whether educational institutions are meeting their obligation to resolve reports of
17 sexual violence in a timely fashion, SurvJustice has observed a trend in educational institutions
18 not responding at all, or not responding as promptly, to its clients' complaints. This trend has
19 required SurvJustice to spend additional staff time and resources that it has not had to spend in
20 the past attempting to get school officials to respond to a survivor's complaint of sexual violence.
21

22 22. The Department's 2017 Title IX Policy also makes it more difficult for SurvJustice to
23 obtain beneficial results for its clients due to, among other particulars, its endorsement of one-
24

25 ² SurvJustice argues that mutual no-contact orders are forms of retaliation when there is no basis
26 to place the order against victim-complainants other than the fact that they made a Title IX
27 complaint. In such instances, schools limit victims' access to educational opportunities and
28 benefits as a direct result of the victims' assertion of their federal rights and utilization of the
Title IX grievance process.

1 sided appeal rights and a heightened standard of evidence that disfavors survivors and makes
2 findings of responsibility for sexual misconduct more onerous.

3 23. **Plaintiff Equal Rights Advocates** (“ERA”) is a national non-profit civil rights
4 organization based in San Francisco, California. Founded in 1974, ERA is dedicated to
5 protecting and expanding economic educational access and opportunities for women and girls.

6
7 24. ERA furthers its mission through engaging in public education efforts, as well as policy
8 reform and legislative advocacy; providing free legal information and counseling; and litigating
9 cases involving issues of gender discrimination in employment and education at all stages, from
10 the administrative agency process through and including the United States Supreme Court. ERA
11 has a long history of pursuing gender justice and equal opportunity for women and girls in
12 education and has litigated a number of important precedent-setting cases under Title IX,
13 including *Doe v. Petaluma City School District*, 54 F.3d 1447 (9th Cir. 1995), which held for the
14 first time that a school can be sued for sex discrimination under that law when it fails to address
15 one student’s serious harassment of another. ERA has participated as *amicus curiae* in scores of
16 state and federal cases involving the interpretation and application of procedural rules and civil
17 rights laws that have an impact on access to justice and economic opportunity for women and
18 girls. Through its Advice and Counseling program, ERA also provides free information and
19 assists individuals on matters relating to sex and gender discrimination at work and in school. As
20 part of its mission, ERA counsels and represents women who have been victims of sexual
21 harassment and/or sexual assault in matters pursuant to Title IX.
22
23

24 25. ERA brings this action on its own behalf because the challenged 2017 Title IX Policy
25 (i) requires resource-intensive efforts that divert resources from its daily operations; (ii) limits
26 the efficacy of available avenues of redress to ERA’s clients and others it serves, (iii) increases
27
28

1 the costs ERA bears in its work on behalf of student survivors of sexual violence; and (iv)
2 otherwise directly conflicts with, impairs, and frustrates ERA's organizational mission and
3 programmatic priorities.

4 26. ERA has had to expend resources over and above what it would otherwise have expended
5 in order to counteract the effects of the 2017 Title IX Policy change. For example, to counteract
6 the effects of the Title IX policy change, ERA has had to divert staff time and resources away
7 from core programmatic activities, such as litigating employment-related civil rights
8 enforcement cases and cases involving Title IX enforcement that do *not* relate to sexual violence
9 in schools, in order to step up its efforts to assist victims of sexual harassment and assault in
10 educational settings obtain redress. In particular, ERA has launched a national initiative to End
11 Sexual Violence in Education ("ESVE") to narrow a justice gap for survivors of sexual violence
12 that ERA has observed is expanding rapidly given the unlawful actions Defendants have taken.
13 Through the ESVE Initiative, which was launched as a result of the Department's 2017 Title IX
14 Policy change, ERA is expanding its Advice & Counseling program, re-designing its intake
15 process, and developing new resources to better reach and serve individuals facing sexual
16 harassment and violence in schools. Additionally, as part of ESVE and in order to counteract the
17 negative impact of policy changes and rollbacks at the federal level on ERA's clients and the
18 communities it serves, ERA is expending resources and diverting resources away from core
19 programmatic activities in order to establish a network of attorneys to provide pro bono
20 counseling and other assistance to victims of sexual harassment and assault in schools. In order
21 to recruit, train, and support these pro bono attorneys and to meet the increased demand for legal
22 assistance in this area, ERA created a new position and hired its first-ever Pro Bono Coordinator.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 It also is planning to build a new website where advocates for survivors can find and share
2 resources with each other.

3 27. Moreover, as an organization that has a longstanding history of providing direct
4 assistance and referral services to survivors of sexual violence, ERA is hampered in its ability to
5 assist the victims of sexual harassment and assault that it represents and counsels in obtaining
6 equitable outcomes and redress for the harms they have suffered. In particular, and as discussed
7 more fully below, the 2017 Title IX Policy permits schools to offer asymmetric appellate rights
8 that disadvantage victims of sexual harassment, including sexual assault; tells schools to make
9 interim safety measures, such as no-contact orders, available on “equal terms” to complainants
10 and respondents during the pendency of an investigation without any allegation that the
11 complainant committed any misconduct or may have done something that undermines the
12 respondent’s sense of safety; permits schools to evade responsibility for protecting students and
13 the school community as a whole by resolving claims of sexual assault privately through
14 mediation; and rolls back other critical protections for survivors that inhibit ERA’s ability to
15 obtain redress and achieve results for its clients.

18 28. **Plaintiff Victim Rights Law Center** (“VRLC”) is a non-profit organization with
19 locations in Oregon and Massachusetts dedicated solely to serving the legal needs of rape and
20 sexual assault victims. VRLC’s mission is to provide legal representation to victims of rape and
21 sexual assault to help rebuild their lives and to promote a national movement committed to
22 seeking justice for every rape and sexual assault victim.

24 29. VRLC provides legal free, comprehensive services to help restore victims’ lives after
25 experiencing sexual violence, ensuring that survivors may stay in school; protecting their
26 privileged and confidential mental health, medical, and education records; preserving their
27

1 employment; maintaining safe housing; securing their immigration status; and swiftly accessing
2 victim compensation and other benefits. As part of its work, VRLC provides legal services
3 and/or facilitates the provision of legal services to individuals who have experienced sexual
4 violence and/or assault on elementary, secondary, and higher education campuses. With almost
5 50 percent of VRLC's clients under the age of 24, a substantial portion of its practice is
6 providing education-related legal consultation and representation. VRLC attorneys represent
7 campus victims to communicate effectively with campus administrators, acquire interim
8 measures and accommodations to secure their education, prepare and attend disciplinary
9 hearings, file appeals, and, if necessary, file complaints with the Department of Education,
10 Office of Civil Rights ("OCR").
11

12 30. VRLC brings this action on its own behalf because, as detailed below, the challenged
13 Title IX policy concretely frustrates its mission and purpose through (among other things)
14 (i) requiring resource-intensive efforts that impede its daily operations, (ii) impairing its mission
15 of providing legal assistance to survivors of sexual assault and/or violence, (iii) limiting the
16 efficacy of available avenues of redress for the population it seeks to serve, (iv) requiring that
17 resources be diverted in order to combat the harmful effects of the Title IX policy, and (v)
18 otherwise directly conflicts with, impairs, and frustrates VRLC's organizational mission and
19 priorities.
20

21 31. The new 2017 Title IX Policy has been devastating to VRLC's mission and its
22 operational activities. For example, as result of the 2017 Title IX Policy, sexual violence and
23 assault victims have expressed an unwillingness to report harassment and assault to campus
24 authorities, denying VRLC the ability to achieve its mission. VRLC saw an immediate chilling
25 effect after the Department issued its 2017 Dear Colleague letter and new Title IX policy. VRLC
26
27
28

1 has seen a decline in the number of sexual violence and assault survivors willing to pursue
2 justice through campus processes. The 2017 Title IX Policy makes it less likely for VRLC clients
3 to engage in the campus process due to, among other particulars, its endorsement of one-sided
4 appeal rights and a heightened standard of evidence that disfavors survivors and makes findings
5 of responsibility for sexual assault and violence more onerous. Moreover, as a result of the new
6 Title IX policy, there has been a decline in the number of survivors willing to file complaints
7 with the Department of Education and/or otherwise communicating with the Department of
8 Education where there is already an investigation pending. Such declines in reporting and
9 hesitation to participate in the grievance process either through educational institutions or at the
10 Department of Education directly threaten and frustrate VRLC's mission and purpose.

11
12 32. In addition to chilling and discouraging sexual violence and assault victims from availing
13 themselves of campus processes, the new Title IX policy has made it more difficult for VRLC to
14 provide appropriate legal advice that helps its clients weigh their options with the best
15 information, leading to further reductions in reports of sexual violence and assault.

16
17 33. In cases where a survivor or victim may proceed with a claim (which is rare under the
18 new Title IX policy), VRLC's mission remains frustrated given the nature of the Title IX policy.
19 In particular, the 2017 Title IX Policy makes it more difficult for VRLC to accomplish its
20 mission of obtaining justice for survivors of sexual violence, both because it makes beneficial
21 outcomes less likely for survivors and because even where those outcomes are still available,
22 success will take more staff time and effort. In addition, since the 2017 Title IX Policy no longer
23 requires colleges and universities to resolve reports of sexual violence in a timely fashion, VRLC
24 has observed a trend in educational institutions not responding or not responding as promptly to
25
26
27
28

1 its clients' complaints. This trend has required VRLC to spend additional staff time and
2 resources that it has not had to spend in the past attempting to get school officials to respond.

3 34. VRLC has also had to devote staff time to reviewing and understanding the 2017 Title IX
4 Policy in order to advise clients in ongoing campus investigations and advocate on their behalf.
5 This use of time has decreased the amount of time that it has available to provide legal services,
6 including work on ongoing civil litigation.
7

8 35. **Defendant U.S. Department of Education** ("the Department" or "the agency") is a
9 federal agency headquartered in Washington, D.C. As discussed more fully below, the
10 Department implements Title IX through issuing regulations and guidance documents and is also
11 tasked with administrative enforcement of Title IX, 20 U.S.C. § 1682. As a federal agency, the
12 Department is subject to the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act and the United
13 States Constitution.
14

15 36. **Defendant Elisabeth D. DeVos** is the United States Secretary of Education. She is sued
16 in her official capacity.

17 37. **Defendant Kenneth L. Marcus** is the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights. He is sued in
18 his official capacity.

19 **Background**

20 38. Sexual harassment—which is conduct including, but not limited to, unwelcome sexual
21 advances, requests for sexual favors, and other unwelcome verbal, nonverbal, or physical
22 conduct of a sexual nature that targets someone because of their sex, including sexual assault or
23 other sexual violence (hereinafter "sexual harassment" or "sexual harassment, including sexual
24 violence")—is widespread in schools across the country, particularly in institutions of higher
25 education.
26
27
28

39. Sexual harassment disproportionately impacts women and girls. One in five women and one in fourteen men experience sexual assault while in college.³ As the last Administration's Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault concluded: "For female bisexual and transgender students, victimization rates are even higher: More than 1 in 4 transgender students and more than 1 in 3 of bisexual students experience sexual assault while in college."⁴

40. While sexual harassment on college campuses is more widely known, students of all ages face sexual harassment, including sexual assault. A nationally representative survey of students in grades 7-12 in 2011 concluded that nearly half of the students surveyed experienced some form of sexual harassment that school year, and the majority said that the experience had a negative effect on them.⁵ Of these students, "[g]irls were more likely than boys to be sexually harassed, by a significant margin."⁶ Children who experience sexual violence are nearly 14 times

³ The White House, The Second Report of the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault, 9 (Jan. 5, 2017), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/files/images/Documents/1.4.17.VAW%20Event.TF%20Report.PDF>. Similarly, a 2007 report found that one in five women were victims of sexual assault while in college and that approximately 6.1 percent of men were victims of sexual assault during college. Krebs, *et al.*, The Campus Sexual Assault (CSA) Study Final Report (Oct. 2007), <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/221153.pdf>. A report published by the American Association of University Women similarly concluded that: "Girls were more likely than boys to be sexually harassed, by a significant margin (56 percent versus 40 percent) [during the 2010-2011 school year]. Girls were more likely than boys to be sexually harassed both in person (52 percent versus 35 percent) and via text, e-mail, Facebook, or other electronic means (36 percent versus 24 percent). This finding confirms previous research showing that girls are sexually harassed more frequently than boys and that girls' experiences tend to be more physical and intrusive than boys' experiences." Catherine Hill and Holly Kearn, Crossing The Line, Sexual Harassment at School, American Association of University Women, 2 (2011), <https://www.aauw.org/files/2013/02/Crossing-the-Line-Sexual-Harassment-at-School.pdf>.

⁴ The White House, *supra* note 3 at 9.

⁵ Hill and Kearn, *supra* note 3 at 2.

⁶ *Id.*

1 more likely to experience rape or attempted rape in their first year of college, according to the
2 National Center for Victims of Crime.⁷

3 41. Experiences of sexual violence harm students physically, psychologically, and
4 academically. Research shows that the effects of sexual assault in high school has consequences
5 that are “delayed and long lasting.”⁸ Sexually victimized students are more likely to drop classes,
6 change residences, and have lower GPAs, creating long-term consequences for professional
7 success and earning potential.⁹

8 42. Incidents of sexual harassment, including sexual violence, are often underreported,
9 especially on college campuses. For example, the Campus Climate Survey Validation Study
10 found that only 7 percent of students who indicated that they had been raped reported the rape to
11 school authorities.¹⁰

12 43. If unreported or inappropriately addressed, sexual harassment can continue unchecked
13 and create ongoing hostile environments for those who are the targets of such attacks.
14
15

16 ⁷ Mark Keierleber, *The Younger Victims of Sexual Violence in School*, The Atlantic, Aug. 10,
17 2017, [https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2017/08/the-younger-victims-of-sexual-](https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2017/08/the-younger-victims-of-sexual-violence-in-school/536418/)
18 [violence-in-school/536418/](https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2017/08/the-younger-victims-of-sexual-violence-in-school/536418/).

19 ⁸ Dana Bolger, *Gender Violence Costs: School’s Financial Obligations Under Title IX*, 125, Yale
20 L.J. 2106, 2118 (2016), [https://www.yalelawjournal.org/feature/gender-violence-costs-schools-](https://www.yalelawjournal.org/feature/gender-violence-costs-schools-financial-obligations-under-title-ix#_ftnref72)
21 [financial-obligations-under-title-ix#_ftnref72](https://www.yalelawjournal.org/feature/gender-violence-costs-schools-financial-obligations-under-title-ix#_ftnref72) (“Violence—and institutional indifference in its
wake—changes the courses of survivors’ lives, with educational and employment consequences
following them far into the future.”).

22 ⁹ Victoria L. Banyard *et al.*, Academic Correlates of Unwanted Sexual Contact, Intercourse,
23 Stalking, and Intimate Partner Violence: An Understudied but Important Consequence for
College Students, *J. of Interpersonal Violence* (June 21, 2017),
24 <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0886260517715022>; National Women’s Law Center,
Let Her Learn: Stopping School Pushout for Girls Who Have Suffered Harassment and Sexual
25 Violence, 8 (2017), [https://nwlc-ci49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-](https://nwlc-ci49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/final_nwlc_Gates_HarassmentViolence.pdf)
26 [content/uploads/2017/04/final_nwlc_Gates_HarassmentViolence.pdf](https://nwlc-ci49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/final_nwlc_Gates_HarassmentViolence.pdf) (finding that 43 percent of
girls who are survivors of sexual violence missed 15 days or more of school, compared to 25
percent of girls overall).

27 ¹⁰ The White House, *supra* note 3 at 10.
28

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972

44. Signed into law by President Nixon, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681, prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in any federally funded education program or activity. When a recipient institution fails to comply with Title IX or to take action to remedy its non-compliance, it can be subject to a range of enforcement actions, including the loss of federal financial assistance. 20 U.S.C. § 1682.

45. The Supreme Court has squarely held that sexual harassment, which includes sexual violence, is a form of sex discrimination that Title IX requires schools to address and remediate.¹¹

The Department of Education's Implementation and Enforcement of Title IX

46. The U.S. Department of Education is the lead agency charged with enforcing Title IX. It may do so by establishing rules, regulations, and procedures that implement Title IX and define the ways in which educational institutions comply with Title IX's requirements. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1682.

47. In 1975, the Department's predecessor promulgated regulations to effectuate Title IX. *See* 40 Fed. Reg. 24,128 (June 4, 1975). As amended, those regulations remain in effect today. *See* 34 C.F.R. pt. 106. Among other things, the regulations incorporate Title IX's nondiscrimination mandate, *see id.* § 106.31(a), identify specific actions that constitute discrimination, *see id.* § 106.31(b), and require assurances from recipients of federal financial assistance that their programs and activities comply with regulatory requirements, *see id.* § 106.4(a).

¹¹ *See, e.g., Franklin v. Gwinnett Cnty Public Schools*, 503 U.S. 60, 75 (1992) (citing *Meritor Sav. Bank, FSB v. Vinson*, 477 U.S. 57, 64 (1986); *Davis v. Monroe Cnty. Bd. of Educ.*, 526 U.S. 629 (1999); *Gebser v. Lago Vista Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 524 U.S. 274 (1998).

1 48. Recipients found to have discriminated on the basis of sex must “take such remedial
2 action as the Assistant Secretary [for Civil Rights] deems necessary to overcome the effects of
3 such discrimination.” *Id.* § 106.3(a).

4 49. The regulations require that recipients “adopt and publish grievance procedures providing
5 for prompt and equitable resolution” of student and employee complaints of sexual
6 discrimination, including sexual assault and other forms of sexual harassment. *Id.* § 106.8(b).
7 Such grievance procedures are designed to facilitate the reporting and resolution of complaints of
8 such sex discrimination so as to prevent and remedy hostile environments on campus.
9

10 50. These same regulations require that educational institutions “designate at least one
11 employee”—commonly known as a Title IX coordinator—“to coordinate its efforts to comply
12 with and carry out its responsibilities” under Title IX, including any investigation of any
13 complaint of sexual discrimination, including sexual violence and other forms of sexual
14 harassment. *Id.* § 106.8(a).
15

16 51. In addition to promulgating Title IX’s implementing regulations, the Department has
17 issued a series of guidance documents that explain the obligations recipient schools and
18 universities are required to take under Title IX.
19

The 1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance

20 52. The first of such guidance documents addressing educational institutions’ obligations to
21 address sexual harassment, titled *Sexual Harassment Guidance: Harassment of Students by*
22 *School Employees, Other Students, or Third Parties*, was published in 1997 after a public notice
23 and comment period and “extensive consultation with interested parties, [including] students,
24 teachers, school administrators, and researchers.” *See* 61 Fed. Reg. 42,728 (Aug. 16, 1996), 61
25 Fed. Reg. 52,172 (Oct. 4, 1996), and 62 Fed. Reg. 12,034, 12,035 (Mar. 13, 1997) (“1997
26
27
28

1 Guidance”). The 1997 Guidance provided information regarding the standards used by the
2 Department’s Office for Civil Rights (“OCR”) to investigate student complaints regarding
3 educational institutions’ responses to sexual harassment perpetrated by school employees, other
4 students (peers), or third parties.

5 53. The 1997 Guidance set forth principles for how educational institutions should address
6 sexual harassment in the educational setting. It noted that schools “are required by the Title IX
7 regulations to adopt and publish grievance procedures providing for prompt and equitable
8 resolution of sex discrimination complaints, including complaints of sexual harassment, and to
9 disseminate a policy against sex discrimination.” *Id.* at 12,040.

10 54. With respect to “informal mechanisms” for resolving complaints, the 1997 Guidance
11 explained that they may be used by mutual consent of the parties but that it was inappropriate for
12 a complaining student to be required to work out the problem directly with the individual
13 accused of harassment and that mediation would be inappropriate even on a voluntary basis in
14 cases that involve sexual assault. *Id.* at 12,045.

15 55. The 1997 Guidance further explained that, during an investigation of a complaint, a
16 school may take appropriate interim and remedial measures, such as placing the involved
17 students in separate classes or in different housing arrangements. The touchstone for these
18 measures was that they “be designed to minimize, as much as possible, the burden on the student
19 who was harassed.” *Id.* at 12,043.

20 56. The 1997 Guidance also made clear that, beyond temporary interim accommodations, a
21 school “may be required to provide . . . services to the student who was harassed if necessary to
22 address the effects of the harassment on that student.” Such service might include tutoring and
23 mental health counseling. *Id.*

57. The 1997 Guidance set forth factors that OCR would consider in evaluating whether a school's grievance procedures were "prompt and equitable," noting that "many schools ... provide an opportunity to appeal the findings or remedy or both." *Id.* at 12,044.

58. The 1997 Guidance explained that other legal or adjudicatory processes could not substitute for a school's own processes. For example, where possible criminal conduct was involved, a police investigation "may be useful in terms of fact-gathering," but, "because legal standards for criminal conduct are different, police investigations or reports may not be determinative of whether harassment occurred under Title IX and do not relieve the school of its duty to respond promptly." *Id.* at 12,045.

The 2001 Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance

59. Following the Supreme Court's 1998 decision in *Gebser v. Lago Vista Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 524 U.S. 274 (1998) and its 1999 decision in *Davis v. Monroe Cty. Bd. of Educ.*, 526 U.S. 629 (1999), the Department issued revisions to the 1997 Guidance in 2001, entitled *Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance: Harassment of Students by School Employees, Other Students, or Third Parties*. See 66 Fed. Reg. 5512 (Jan. 19, 2001).

60. The 2001 Guidance, which also followed a public notice and comment period, see 62 Fed. Reg. 66,092 (Nov. 2, 2000), reaffirms many of the principles set forth in the 1997 Guidance. It "explains how the requirements of the Title IX regulations apply to situations involving sexual harassment of a student and outlines measures that schools should take to ensure compliance [with Title IX and its implementing regulations]." 2001 Guidance at 4.

61. The 2001 Guidance reaffirms the requirement that educational institutions publish grievance procedures "providing for prompt and equitable resolution of sex discrimination

1 complaints, including complaints of sexual harassment, and to disseminate a policy against sex
2 discrimination.” *Id.* at 14.

3 62. The 2001 Guidance further provides that “[o]nce a school has notice of possible sexual
4 harassment of students—whether carried out by employees, other students, or third parties—it
5 should take immediate and appropriate steps to investigate or otherwise determine what occurred
6 and take prompt and effective steps reasonably calculated to end any harassment, eliminate a
7 hostile environment if one has been created, and prevent harassment from occurring again.” *Id.*
8 at 15.

9
10 63. The 2001 Guidance specifies a number of factors that would be considered in
11 determining whether an educational institution’s grievance procedures were “prompt and
12 equitable,” as required by Title IX and the Department’s implementing regulations, including, as
13 in the 1997 Guidance, the acknowledgment that many schools “provid[ed] an opportunity to
14 appeal the findings or remedy or both.” *Id.* at 20.

15
16 64. The 2001 Guidance also reaffirms that although informal resolution of complaints might
17 be appropriate in some cases, OCR had “frequently advised schools” that “mediation” or other
18 informal resolution would not be appropriate in the context of some forms of sexual harassment,
19 such as sexual assault, even on a voluntary basis. *Id.* at 21.

20
21 65. The 2001 Guidance also reiterates the importance of interim measures discussed in the
22 1997 Guidance, stressing that such measures “should be designed to minimize, as much as
23 possible, the burden on the student who was harassed.” *Id.* at 16.

24 66. Like the 1997 Guidance, the 2001 Guidance made clear that, after an investigation has
25 concluded, a school “may be required to provide. . . services to the student who was harassed if
26 necessary to address the effects of the harassment on that student.” *Id.* at 16-17.

67. The 2001 Guidance cautions schools, as did the 1997 Guidance, about relying on police or insurance company investigations as a substitute for their own processes, again emphasizing the different purposes and legal standards applicable in those third-party investigations. *Id.* at 21.

68. Finally, the 2001 Guidance notes that both employees and students of public schools and universities are entitled to certain Constitutional due process protections, and that the rights established under Title IX must be interpreted consistent with any federally guaranteed due process rights involved in a complaint proceeding. The guidance instructed, however, that recipients should ensure that “steps to accord due process rights do not restrict or unnecessarily delay the protections provided by Title IX to the complainant.” *Id.* at 22.

The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter and 2014 Q&A Document

69. Even with the Department’s enforcement and guidance activities, sexual harassment, including sexual violence, has remained a pervasive problem on campuses and in schools across the country.

70. In the wake of these ongoing challenges, educational institutions solicited assistance from the Department of Education in further understanding their obligations under Title IX in regard to sexual harassment, particularly sexual violence. Thereafter, in 2011, the Department issued a “Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Violence” and, in 2014, a set of Questions and Answers to respond to additional concerns raised by schools and students.¹²

71. Citing the “deeply troubling” statistics concerning sexual violence on campuses, the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter provided clarity on how schools should address peer-on-peer sexual

¹² See U.S. Dep’t of Educ., Ltr. from Ass’t Sec’y Russlynn Ali (Apr. 4, 2011), <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-201104.pdf> (“2011 Dear Colleague Letter”); U.S. Dep’t of Educ., Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence (Apr. 29, 2014), <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/qa-201404-title-ix.pdf> (“2014 Q&A”).

1 harassment, including sexual violence, as well as steps that schools could take to respond in
2 accordance with the Department's regulations and 2001 Guidance.

3 72. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter reiterated, consistent with the 2001 Guidance, the
4 importance of schools offering services to survivors, such as tutoring and mental health care, to
5 ensure that they can continue to learn in the wake of sexual harassment, including sexual
6 violence. 2011 Dear Colleague Letter at 15-17. It noted, again consistent with the 2001
7 Guidance, that necessary accommodations may include interim remedies to protect the
8 complainant during the investigation. *Id.* at 15.

10 73. As in the 2001 Guidance, the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter discussed what constitutes a
11 prompt and equitable adjudication. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter also made clear that Title IX
12 requires schools to provide complainants and respondents equal rights and opportunities
13 throughout an investigation and any appellate process. *Id.* at 12.

15 74. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter also discouraged schools from allowing a complainant
16 and alleged perpetrator to directly cross-examine each other. As the guidance explained,
17 "[a]llowing an alleged perpetrator to question an alleged victim directly may be traumatic or
18 intimidating, thereby possibly escalating or perpetuating a hostile environment." *Id.* at 12.

19 75. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter reiterated the ongoing policy expressed in the 2001
20 Guidance that mediation is an inappropriate way to resolve sexual violence complaints. *Id.* at 8.

22 76. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter also discussed, in response to questions that school
23 administrators had posed, the burden of proof that recipient schools should use in investigating
24 complaints of sexual assault. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter explained that, in investigating
25 Title IX complaints, OCR reviews a school's grievance procedures to determine whether a
26 school uses preponderance of the evidence as the complainant's burden of proof. It explained
27
28

1 that this burden appropriately reflected the burden used in litigation under Title VII of the Civil
2 Rights Act of 1964, to which courts have looked in construing Title IX, as well as the burden
3 used by OCR in investigating Title IX complaints against recipients of federal funding. *Id.* at 11.

4 77. Also consistent with the 2001 Guidance, the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter reiterated that
5 public and state-supported schools must provide due process protections to an alleged
6 perpetrator. *Id.* at 12.

7
8 78. In 2014, the Department issued further clarifications consistent with its previously issued
9 guidance in response to questions that it received from schools and colleges in the form of a
10 Questions and Answers document (“2014 Q&A”). The 2014 Q&A provided examples of
11 proactive efforts schools could take to prevent sexual violence and remedies schools could use to
12 end such conduct, prevent its recurrence, and address its effects.

13
14 79. Among other things, the 2014 Q&A further discussed Title IX’s mandate that schools
15 take steps to ensure equal access to educational programs and activities, including by protecting
16 a complainant with interim measures pending resolution of a complaint. Such measures should
17 allow the complainant to avoid contact with the alleged perpetrator and “to change academic and
18 extracurricular activities or his or her living, transportation, dining, and working situations as
19 appropriate.” 2014 Q&A at 32. This requirement is consistent with the 2001 Guidance’s
20 emphasis on Title IX’s mandate to take immediate steps once a complaint is filed to eliminate
21 any hostile environment and prevent harassment from occurring again. *Id.* at 32-33; *see also*
22 2001 Guidance at 12.

23
24 80. The 2014 Q&A also emphasized that schools should provide the same rights and
25 opportunities to complainants and respondents. 2014 Q&A at 26.

81. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter and the 2014 Q&A did not reflect any policy change, but rather reminded schools of longstanding statutory and regulatory obligations to address sexual harassment, including sexual violence, while providing more details and examples of how to do so. Both documents were the product of the Department's consideration of the standards that OCR had relied on in dozens of investigations and reflected the input of students, faculty, administrators, staff, attorneys, Sexual Assault Response Teams ("SARTs"), counselors, student advocates, medical personnel, parents, law enforcement, prosecutors, and campus police.

The Trump Administration's Change in Title IX Policy

82. Following his inauguration, President Trump appointed Secretary DeVos to lead the Department of Education. In April 2017, Secretary DeVos selected Candice Jackson to serve as Deputy Assistant Secretary and to lead OCR as Acting Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights.

83. Secretary DeVos and Ms. Jackson have repeatedly criticized the protections that Title IX affords to women and other survivors of sexual harassment, including sexual violence. Much of that criticism has been based on discriminatory stereotypes and unfounded generalizations about female students in general and female victims of sexual violence in particular. There is a longstanding and inaccurate stereotype that women and girls tend to lie about or misunderstand sexual assault and harassment. For example, a recent study published in the *Psychology of Violence* determined that police routinely rely on rape myths, such as that the victim was lying or that the victim had given consent, in judging whether a case should be referred to a prosecutor.¹³ Secretary DeVos' and Ms. Jackson's statements and actions reveal that their

¹³ See Romeo Vitelli, *Rape Myths and the Search for True Justice*, *Psychology Today*, Oct. 26, 2017, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/media-spotlight/201710/rape-myths-and-the-search-true-justice> (citing and linking to *Psychology of Violence* study, Jessica Shaw, et al., *Beyond Surveys and Scales: How Rape Myths Manifest in Sexual Assault Police Records*, 7(4) *Psychology of Violence* 602 (Oct. 2017)); ."); Critical Issues on Violence Against Women:

1 decision-making regarding the 2017 Title IX Policy was motivated at least in part by these
 2 stereotypes.

3 84. For example, Ms. Jackson has repeatedly criticized core civil rights achievements, such
 4 as legal protections against sexual harassment. In a book she published in 2005, Ms. Jackson
 5 stated that laws to combat sexual harassment gloss over “the reality that unwanted sexual
 6 advances are difficult to define.”¹⁴

7
 8 85. Ms. Jackson regularly questions the veracity of sexual harassment and assault claims
 9 made by women, stating, for example:

10 [I]t wasn’t enough that women are not legally forbidden anymore from getting an
 11 education and entering the workforce. Feminists and other leftists thought the
 12 problem of workplace sexual harassment needed a legal remedy. Since sexual
 13 harassment is such a nebulous experience, defined so subjectively and turning on
 14 the perceptions of the people involved, laws banning it are difficult to articulate.
 15 But they have tried anyway, with the side result that many men self-censor
 themselves to avoid being accused of sexual harassment, and institutions remove
 valid expressions of art and learning to avoid “even the appearance of sexual
 harassment.”¹⁵

16 86. In October 2016, a few months before joining the Department, Ms. Jackson stated in a
 17 social media post that women who claimed that Donald Trump sexually harassed them were
 18 lying “for political gain,” and “evidence is piling up that shows these recent accusers against
 19
 20
 21

22 International Perspectives and Promising Strategies 96 (Holly Johnson, et al., eds., Routledge
 23 2015), *available at*
 24 <https://books.google.com/books?id=pD62BQAAQBAJ&pg=PA96#v=onepage&q&f=false>
 25 (“Allegations that women lie about sexual assault are not new. ... Despite social advancements
 in the past several decades regarding rape awareness, negative attitudes and belief in ‘rape
 myths’ are still pervasive.”).

26 ¹⁴ Candice Jackson, *Their Lives: The Women Targeted by the Clinton Machine* (2005), at 138.

27 ¹⁵ *Id.*

1 Trump are, frankly, fake victims.¹⁶ Ms. Jackson’s post came just days after a tape surfaced of
 2 then-candidate Trump expressly bragging about sexually assaulting women.

3 87. Similarly, and for example, in the past, Secretary DeVos has provided substantial
 4 financial contributions—a form of speech—to FIRE, an organization that advocates for schools
 5 to abdicate their responsibility to address sexual violence and instead defer entirely to law
 6 enforcement.¹⁷ FIRE asserts that the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter “eviscerated due process rights
 7 of students and faculty accused of sexual misconduct on campus.”¹⁸ FIRE has previously
 8 litigated against the Department to weaken Title IX’s protections, including by arguing that the
 9 preponderance of the evidence standard, which is used in nearly all civil matters, is unfair to the
 10 alleged perpetrator in a Title IX grievance proceeding.
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15

16 ¹⁶ Tyler Kingkade, *The Lawyer Who Helped Bill Clinton’s Rape Accusers May Have Scored A*
 17 *Top Civil Rights Job Under Trump*, BuzzFeed News, Apr. 3, 2017,
 18 [https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/tylerkingkade/the-lawyer-who-helped-clinton-rape-](https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/tylerkingkade/the-lawyer-who-helped-clinton-rape-accusers-may-have-scored#.ej6ZKYBG8X)
 19 [accusers-may-have-scored#.ej6ZKYBG8X](https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/tylerkingkade/the-lawyer-who-helped-clinton-rape-accusers-may-have-scored#.ej6ZKYBG8X); *see also* Annie Waldman, *DeVos Pick to Head Civil*
 20 *Rights Office Once Said She Faced Discrimination for Being White*, Pro Publica (Apr. 14, 2017),
 21 [https://www.propublica.org/article/devos-candice-jackson-civil-rights-office-education-](https://www.propublica.org/article/devos-candice-jackson-civil-rights-office-education-department)
 22 [department](https://www.propublica.org/article/devos-candice-jackson-civil-rights-office-education-department).

23 ¹⁷ Benjamin Wermund, *DeVos’ Donations Spark Questions About Her Stance On Sexual Assault*,
 24 Politico, Jan. 9, 2017, [https://www.politico.com/story/2017/01/betsy-devos-education-sexual-](https://www.politico.com/story/2017/01/betsy-devos-education-sexual-assault-233376)
 25 [assault-233376](https://www.politico.com/story/2017/01/betsy-devos-education-sexual-assault-233376); Alex Morey, *Baylor Rape Controversy More Evidence Colleges Unequipped to*
 26 *Decide Sexual Assault Cases*, Found. for Individual Rights in Educ. (FIRE), Sept. 14, 2015,
 27 [https://www.thefire.org/baylor-rape-controversy-more-evidence-colleges-unequipped-to-decide-](https://www.thefire.org/baylor-rape-controversy-more-evidence-colleges-unequipped-to-decide-sexual-assault-cases/)
 28 [sexual-assault-cases/](https://www.thefire.org/baylor-rape-controversy-more-evidence-colleges-unequipped-to-decide-sexual-assault-cases/); Robert Shibley, *Time to Call the Cops: Title IX Has Failed Campus*
Sexual Assault, TIME, Dec. 1, 2014, [http://time.com/3612667/campus-sexual-assault-uva-rape-](http://time.com/3612667/campus-sexual-assault-uva-rape-titleix/)
[titleix/](http://time.com/3612667/campus-sexual-assault-uva-rape-titleix/) (FIRE’s senior vice president arguing that campus responses “encourage[] silence or the
 avoidance of law enforcement” in the wake of the Rolling Stone account of alleged rape at a
 fraternity house at the University of Virginia).

¹⁸ *Dear Colleague: It’s Over! Education Department Rescinds Controversial 2011 Letter*, FIRE,
 Sept. 22, 2017, [https://www.thefire.org/dear-colleague-its-over-education-department-rescinds-](https://www.thefire.org/dear-colleague-its-over-education-department-rescinds-controversial-2011-letter/)
[controversial-2011-letter/](https://www.thefire.org/dear-colleague-its-over-education-department-rescinds-controversial-2011-letter/).

1 88. Since they have assumed their current roles at the Department, Secretary DeVos's and
 2 Ms. Jackson's official actions and statements preceding the 2017 Title IX Policy reveal their
 3 discriminatory motivation.

4 89. For example, Secretary DeVos actively solicited the views of those individuals and
 5 groups that oppose robust Title IX protections and have questioned the veracity of survivors'
 6 experiences. Shortly after assuming her role as Secretary, Secretary DeVos met with State
 7 Representative Earl Ehrhart from Georgia—a notorious opponent of Title IX—to discuss, among
 8 other topics, Title IX enforcement.¹⁹ Representative Ehrhart has pushed a state bill that would
 9 require colleges to refer all sexual assault reports to the police, even against a victim's expressed
 10 wishes, a dangerous policy that would discourage reporting by victims. He has also questioned
 11 women's credibility on the experience of sexual assault, accusing one woman of "utilizing a
 12 victim's status" for ulterior motives.²⁰ Similarly, during the summer of 2017, Secretary DeVos
 13 met with the National Coalition for Men, an organization that has published photos of women
 14 who have made complaints of rape, calling them "false victims."²¹ This Coalition has referred to
 15 rape survivors as "anti-male."²²
 16
 17
 18

19
 20 ¹⁹ Kathryn Joyce, *The Takedown of Title IX*, N.Y. Times, Dec. 5, 2017,
 21 https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/05/magazine/the-takedown-of-title-ix.html?_r=0 ("Ehrhart
 22 came away from his meeting gratified that DeVos seemed to agree with him on the limited role
 that federal authorities should play. 'She's placing this back where it belongs,' he told me, 'in the
 purview of the states.'")

23 ²⁰ Letter from Nat'l Women's Law Ctr, *et al.* to Elisabeth DeVos, Sec'y of Educ., Apr. 17, 2017,
<https://nwlc.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/April-17-2017-Letter-to-Secretary-DeVos.pdf>.

24 ²¹ Jessica Valenti, *Why is Betsy DeVos Enabling Rape Deniers?*, The Guardian, July 14, 2017,
 25 [https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/jul/14/betsy-devos-accused-rapists-meetings-](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/jul/14/betsy-devos-accused-rapists-meetings-sexual-assault)
 sexual-assault.

26 ²² Tyler Kingkade, *These Democratic Senators Are Blasting Betsy DeVos For Her Approach To*
 27 *Campus Rape*, BuzzFeed, July 12, 2017, [https://www.buzzfeed.com/tylerkingkade/these-](https://www.buzzfeed.com/tylerkingkade/these-democratic-senators-are-blasting-betsy-devos-for-her?utm_term=.sd2GyLb3M#.lvOz57wgB)
 democratic-senators-are-blasting-betsy-devos-for-her?utm_term=.sd2GyLb3M#.lvOz57wgB.

1 90. Similarly, Candice Jackson proactively sought out the views and input of those
 2 individuals who question the veracity of women and girl's reports of sexual harassment and
 3 assault, as the Defendants developed the new Policy. According to documents released by the
 4 Department in response to a Freedom of Information Act request, she had a dinner meeting with
 5 Chris Perry, Deputy Executive Director of Stop Abusive and Violent Environments ("SAVE"),
 6 and others in advance of the release of the 2017 Title IX Policy to discuss the Dear Colleague
 7 Letter. SAVE's mission includes stopping "false accusations" of sexual assault, and its website
 8 repeats a discredited and aberrational study that concluded that 41% of rape claims are false.²³

10 91. FOIA records also show that in May 2017, Ms. Jackson telephoned Gordon E. Finley
 11 regarding his writing on issues related to sexual assault. Mr. Finley is a member of the National
 12 Coalition for Men and a professor at Florida International University who opines frequently on
 13 sexual harassment and assault, including essays such as "Sex: The New War on Men,"²⁴ and "A
 14 false accusation can spell the end of a college male's future," which relies on studies that assert
 15 (incorrectly) that rates of false allegations regarding rape and other sexual abuse range from 41
 16 to 62 percent.²⁵ In an email response, after thanking Ms. Jackson for her "kind call" and saying
 17

18
 19 ²³ SAVE, *Falsely Accused of Sexual Assault*, <http://www.saveservices.org/dv/falsely-accused/sex-assault/>; see SAVE, *Ten Myths of Campus Sexual Assault*,
 20 <http://www.saveservices.org/sexual-assault/ten-myths/>.

21 ²⁴ Gordon E. Finley, *Sex: The New War on Men*, Nat'l Coal. for Men, May 12, 2014,
 22 <https://ncfm.org/2014/05/action/ncfm-advisor-gordon-finley-ph-d-sex-the-new-war-on-men/>
 23 ("Sexual allegations made by females are not taken as allegations but rather as 'settled fact.'
 24 These claims do not even consider the possibility that women might lie about any manner of
 things sexual ..." and "The former definition of forcible rape has morphed into anything sexual
 without "consent" and with the determination of "consent" left entirely up to the woman, even to
 be determined on the morning after.").

25 ²⁵ See NCFM Adviser Gordon Finley Letter, "A False Accusation Can Spell the End of College
 26 Male's Future," *Published in the Boston Globe*, Nat'l Coal. for Men, Oct. 18, 2014,
 27 [https://ncfm.org/2014/10/news/discrimination-news/discrimination-against-men-news/ncfm-
 28 adviser-gordon-finley-letter-a-false-accusation-can-spell-the-end-of-college-males-future-
 published-in-the-boston-globe/](https://ncfm.org/2014/10/news/discrimination-news/discrimination-against-men-news/ncfm-adviser-gordon-finley-letter-a-false-accusation-can-spell-the-end-of-college-males-future-published-in-the-boston-globe/).

1 “[i]t’s always nice to know that someone actually reads what you write,” Mr. Finley directed Ms.
2 Jackson to additional of his writings, including the two previously identified.

3 92. Ms. Jackson was also in regular email contact with Cynthia Garrett, co-President of
4 Families Advocating for Campus Equality (“FACE”), and others at FACE, regarding the
5 Department’s Title IX policy. FACE, which is comprised primarily of mothers of boys and men
6 who have been accused of sexual harassment and assault, “advocate[es] for the rights of falsely
7 accused students.”²⁶ One of these FACE members told the New York Times of her son’s
8 expulsion for having sex with a student who was too intoxicated to give consent, “[i]n my
9 generation, what these girls are going through was never considered assault . . . It was
10 considered, ‘I was stupid and I got embarrassed.’”²⁷

11
12 93. Ms. Jackson coordinated with Ms. Garrett regarding a letter campaign from FACE
13 members to the Department regarding the Department’s Title IX sexual violence policy. By and
14 large, these letters presented stories of supposedly false accusations of sexual assault or
15 harassment by women or girls against boys and men. Ms. Jackson also requested that FACE
16 publish numerous op-eds regarding the Department’s Title IX policy in advance of Secretary
17 DeVos’s September 2017 speech on the Department’s Title IX policy.

18
19 94. In contrast to the Department’s solicitation of persons and organizations with views that
20 female sexual assault survivors are prone to exaggerate or fabricate accusations, the Department
21 met with organizations that advocate for Title IX’s protections for survivors only after repeated,
22 collective requests from those organizations. Plaintiffs, along with other organizations that
23 advocate for Title IX’s protections for sexual assault survivors, sent Secretary DeVos a letter in
24

25 ²⁶ FACE, *Title IX’s Other Victims*, <https://www.facecampusequality.org/ourstories/>.

26 ²⁷ Anemona Hortocollis & Christina Capecchi, *‘Willing to Do Everything,’ Mothers Defend Sons*
27 *Accused of Sexual Assault*, N.Y. Times, Oct. 22, 2017,
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/10/22/us/campus-sex-assault-mothers.html>.

1 April 2017 urging her to consider the views of sexual assault survivors and groups dedicated to
2 survivors' rights, as opposed to the biased and extreme views held by Mr. Ehrhart and others.²⁸
3 While Department decisionmakers did eventually meet with SurvJustice and others representing
4 the views of sexual violence survivors, one survivors' rights group, Know Your IX, was
5 disinvented after its co-founders published an op-ed critical of the Office for Civil Rights.
6

7 95. The views of individuals arguing that women tend to lie about sexual harassment and
8 assault, especially in educational settings, influenced and infected the Department's decision-
9 making. For example, FOIA records show that on July 18, 2017, Candice Jackson discussed a
10 book titled "Unwanted Advances: If this is feminism, it's feminism hijacked by melodrama"
11 with OCR staff and remarked on "how helpful it has been in reference to the issues we are
12 discussing." In an email to Department colleagues attaching a summary of the book and
13 referencing Ms. Jackson's favorable view of it, OCR's Confidential Assistant instructed the
14 group, "[i]t is imperative that we all read either the summary or the book . . . before tomorrow's
15 meeting." The attached book summary included the following statements:
16

17 The existing Title IX guidance from the Department was motivated by "an ill-
18 conceived effort to protect women students from a rapidly growing catalogue of
19 sexual bogeymen."

20 "Sexual paranoia has converted the Title IX bureaucracy into an insatiable
21 behemoth, bloated by its own federal power grab, though protests are few
22 because—what are you, in favor of rape culture or something?"

23 "It turns out that rampant accusation is the new norm on today's campus; the
24 place is a secret cornucopia of accusation, especially when it comes to sex."

25 "[W]e seem to be breeding a generation of students, mostly female students,
26 deploying Title IX to remedy sexual ambivalences or awkward sexual
27 experiences, and to adjudicate relationship disputes post-breakup—and campus
28 administrators are allowing it."

²⁸ Letter from Nat'l Women's Law Ctr, *et al.*, *supra* note 20.

1 “[A]ny number of other cases I learned about: astounding levels of bias against
 2 accused men, inventive deployments of the preponderance standard, and female
 3 complainants with ambiguous motives. I don’t wish to betray my gender, but the
 4 premise that accusers don’t lie turns out to be mythical. By sentimentalizing
 women in such preposterous ways, aren’t Title IX officials setting schools up as
 cash cows for some of our more creatively inclined women students?”

5 96. Although Title IX affords protections to all victims of discriminatory conduct on the
 6 basis of sex, Secretary DeVos and Ms. Jackson have criticized the protections that civil rights
 7 laws, such as Title IX, afford to women, continuing to base their statements on stereotypes about
 8 college women and women who are survivors of sexual harassment, including sexual assault, as
 9 fabricators and exaggerators.

10 97. In a July 2017 article in the *New York Times*, in which Ms. Jackson was quoted, she
 11 publicly propounded discriminatory stereotypes of women who survive sexual assault.
 12 Regarding investigations conducted by schools and universities to identify and remedy unlawful
 13 sexual violence and other forms of harassment, she echoed many of the views expressed by
 14 groups and individuals from whom she sought input:

15 [In most investigations there’s] not even an accusation that these accused students
 16 overrode the will of a young woman. Rather, the accusations—90 percent of
 17 them—fall into the category of ‘we were both drunk,’ ‘we broke up, and six
 18 months later I found myself under a Title IX investigation because *she* just
 19 decided that our last sleeping together was not quite right.’²⁹

20 98. In September 2017, Secretary DeVos gave a speech on campus sexual harassment,
 21 including sexual violence, at George Mason University. In her remarks, she cited a number of
 22 examples where students, particularly male students accused of sexual violence, were allegedly
 23 treated unfairly by their schools. She used these examples to justify rescinding the 2011 Dear
 24

25 ²⁹ Erica L. Green & Sheryl Gay Stolberg, *Policies Get a New Look as the Accused Get DeVos’s*
 26 *Ear*, N.Y. Times, July 13, 2017 (emphasis added),
 27 [https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/12/us/politics/campus-rape-betsy-devos-title-iv-education-](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/12/us/politics/campus-rape-betsy-devos-title-iv-education-trump-candice-jackson.html)
 28 [trump-candice-jackson.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/12/us/politics/campus-rape-betsy-devos-title-iv-education-trump-candice-jackson.html).

1 Colleague Letter and 2014 Q&A.³⁰ Yet these anecdotes involve problems that arose because
2 schools did not follow the then-existing guidance, and therefore do not support rescission of that
3 guidance. Moreover, many of the anecdotes used by Secretary DeVos in her speech were
4 misleading and/or untrue, in that they recounted supposed facts that the parties to the incident
5 disputed.

6
7 99. Secretary DeVos's September 2017 speech presented as equally problematic the harm
8 faced by sexual violence survivors and the harm faced by individuals who have been falsely
9 accused, despite a lack of evidence that the latter is a widespread problem extending beyond a
10 few occurrences, unlike the former.³¹ Rather than recognizing that false accusations are rare,
11 Secretary DeVos presented the problem of false accusations as rampant. On the contrary,
12 research shows that the prevalence of false allegations of sexual assault is very low—false
13 accusations regarding criminal sexual assault, for example, are estimated at 2-10 percent.³²
14

15 100. Secretary DeVos also asserted that the loss of due process protections for alleged
16 perpetrators is a widespread problem on school campuses, mentioning “due process” ten times
17 during the speech. She also claimed that “the system established by the prior administration” was
18 responsible for creating “victims of a lack of due process”.³³
19
20
21

22 ³⁰ See Elisabeth DeVos, Sec'y of the U.S. Dep't of Educ., Remarks on Title IX Enforcement at
23 George Mason University (Sept. 7, 2017), [https://www.ed.gov/news/speeches/secretary-devos-](https://www.ed.gov/news/speeches/secretary-devos-prepared-remarks-title-ix-enforcement)
24 [prepared-remarks-title-ix-enforcement](https://www.ed.gov/news/speeches/secretary-devos-prepared-remarks-title-ix-enforcement) (“DeVos Remarks”).

25 ³¹ See DeVos Remarks.

26 ³² David Lisak, *et al.*, *False Allegations of Sexual Assault: An Analysis of Ten Years of Reported*
27 *Cases*, 16(12) *Violence Against Women* 1318, 1330 (2010), [https://icdv.idaho.gov/](https://icdv.idaho.gov/conference/handouts/False-Allegations.pdf)
28 [conference/handouts/False-Allegations.pdf](https://icdv.idaho.gov/conference/handouts/False-Allegations.pdf).

³³ DeVos Remarks.

1 101. Secretary DeVos also expressed doubt about the seriousness of sexual harassment claims,
2 saying, “[I]f everything is harassment, then nothing is.”³⁴ This statement, among other things,
3 minimizes the full range of sexual harassment and its impact on women and girls, including
4 deprivation of their access to education.

5 102. Secretary DeVos’s and Ms. Jackson’s statements are consistent with and reveal their
6 discriminatory belief, based on gender stereotypes, that many girls and women who report sexual
7 harassment misunderstood a harmless romantic advance and that those who report sexual
8 violence often are either lying or have regret about a consensual sexual encounter.

9 103. Other politically appointed Department of Education officials have similar doubts about
10 the veracity of sexual harassment and violence claims. For example, Adam Kissel, previously
11 Deputy Assistant Secretary for Higher Education Programs, has criticized affirmative consent
12 policies adopted on college campuses through his Twitter account, stating in February 2017,
13 “The new OCR [Office of Civil Rights, Department of Education] will start to fix this.” Mr.
14 Kissel has also criticized the “preponderance of evidence” standard because it will lead, in his
15 view, to “more guilty verdicts,” apparently referring to campus findings of responsibility. He has
16 also criticized antidiscrimination policies preventing sexual harassment.

17 104. This discriminatory mindset not only has motivated decisionmakers at the Department; it
18 flows from the top of the Executive Branch. President Trump’s actions and statements reveal his
19 discriminatory and stereotyped views of women, and particularly women’s veracity regarding
20 claims of sexual harassment, including violence. For example, during his campaign for President,
21 Donald Trump called women who have made accusations of sexual harassment and assault
22 against him “phony accusers” who have made such reports to get “some free fame.” He has
23
24
25
26

27 ³⁴ DeVos Remarks.

1 called sexual harassment reports against him by women “a total setup” and the women who
2 made those reports, “horrible.”³⁵

3 105. Mr. Trump has bragged about sexually assaulting women on audiotape, but continues to
4 deny the experiences of women and girls who have experienced sexual assault. He asserted that
5 “every woman lied when they came forward” regarding alleged sexual harassment by him, and
6 that all of the women “liars will be sued after the election is over.”³⁶

7
8 106. This discriminatory and stereotyped view of women and girls has become de facto White
9 House policy, as the White House has asserted in an official statement that at least 16 women
10 who had accused the President of sexual harassment were lying.³⁷

11 107. The Administration’s disbelief of women and girls and disregard for gender-based
12 violence is also evident from the employment of multiple White House staff members who have
13 been accused of abusing their female partners. It has been widely reported that now-former
14 White House staff secretary Rob Porter was elevated within the White House despite law
15 enforcement investigations concerning multiple reports of his abuse of women.³⁸ After the
16 reports of Mr. Porter’s abuse were made public, President Trump has defended Mr. Porter, and
17
18

19 ³⁵ Ryan T. Beckwith, *Read Donald Trump’s Speech Attacking His Accusers*, TIME, Oct. 14,
20 2016, <http://time.com/4532181/donald-trump-north-carolina-accusers-speech-transcript/>.

21 ³⁶ Ben Jacobs, *Trump Uses Gettysburg Address to Threaten to Sue Sex Assault Accusers*, The
22 Guardian, Oct. 22, 2016, [https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/oct/22/donald-trump-](https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/oct/22/donald-trump-gettysburg-contract-with-america-sue-accusers-hillary-clinton)
23 [gettysburg-contract-with-america-sue-accusers-hillary-clinton](https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/oct/22/donald-trump-gettysburg-contract-with-america-sue-accusers-hillary-clinton).

24 ³⁷ John Wagner, *All of the Women Who Have Accused Trump of Sexual Harassment Are Lying, the White House Says*, Wash. Post, Oct. 27, 2017, [https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2017/10/27/all-of-the-women-who-have-accused-trump-of-sexual-harassment-are-lying-the-white-house-says/?utm_term=.79b67a7a3a2b)
25 [politics/wp/2017/10/27/all-of-the-women-who-have-accused-trump-of-sexual-harassment-are-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2017/10/27/all-of-the-women-who-have-accused-trump-of-sexual-harassment-are-lying-the-white-house-says/?utm_term=.79b67a7a3a2b)
26 [lying-the-white-house-says/?utm_term=.79b67a7a3a2b](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2017/10/27/all-of-the-women-who-have-accused-trump-of-sexual-harassment-are-lying-the-white-house-says/?utm_term=.79b67a7a3a2b).

27 ³⁸ Mr. Porter’s reported abuse of women is well documented; in 2010, a court issued a protective
28 order against him, finding “reasonable grounds” to believe that Mr. Porter committed domestic
abuse towards his wife at the time, Jennifer Willoughby, and “probable danger” of the abuse
occurring. Reports and evidence concerning Mr. Porter’s abuse of women are among the things
that prevented Mr. Porter from obtaining a permanent security clearance.

1 White House Chief of Staff John Kelly stated that Mr. Porter is a man of “integrity.” Mr.
2 Porter’s resignation was followed by the resignation of another senior White House official,
3 David Sorensen, who also has been accused of gender-based violence.

4 108. Following these resignations, President Trump issued a statement in the form of a
5 tweet: “Peoples [sic] lives are being shattered and destroyed by a mere allegation,” he wrote.
6 “There is no recovery for someone falsely accused—life and career are gone. Is there no
7 such thing any longer as Due Process?”³⁹

8 109. More recently, President Trump mocked Christine Blasey Ford, who accused now-Justice
9 Brett Kavanaugh of sexual assault. In a speech in Mississippi, Trump questioned Dr. Ford’s
10 recollection of the incident, which she reports occurred several decades ago. Later, the President
11 confirmed that he doubted Dr. Ford’s report of sexual assault, saying “I was just saying she
12 didn’t seem to know anything.”⁴⁰

13 110. President Trump also claimed that two women who confronted Senator Jeff Flake in an
14 elevator with stories of their own sexual assaults during now-Justice Kavanaugh’s confirmation
15 hearings were “paid professionals.”⁴¹

16 111. On October 2, 2018, President Trump again addressed Dr. Ford’s allegations that now-
17 Justice Kavanaugh sexually assaulted her, calling it “a very scary time for young men in
18 America.” He added that “[w]omen are doing great.”⁴²

19
20
21
22
23 ³⁹ Donald Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Feb. 10, 2018), <https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/962348831789797381>.

24 ⁴⁰ Alex Johnson, *Trump Defends Mockery of Christine Blasey Ford, Says It Got Kavanaugh*
25 *Confirmed*, NBC News, Oct. 15, 2018, <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/trump-defends-mockery-christine-blasey-ford-says-it-got-kavanaugh-n919986>.

26 ⁴¹ Donald Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Oct. 5, 2018),
27 <https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1048196883464818688>.

112. The Trump Administration's animus towards women gives license to and encourages Executive branch decisionmakers who share the same discriminatory views to perpetuate those views in their work.

113. The Department's revised Title IX policy, described in detail in the paragraphs that follow, was motivated by discriminatory and unfounded stereotypes about the women and girls who come forward to report sexual assault and harassment. Consistent with this view, the new policy removes protections for survivors, the majority of whom are female, and does so in order to make it more difficult for these women and girls to obtain relief via a school's Title IX process and to discourage them from making Title IX reports to their schools in the first place.

2017 Title IX Policy

114. On September 22, 2017, the Department issued a new Dear Colleague Letter ("2017 Dear Colleague Letter"), rescinding the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter and 2014 Q&A.⁴³ The 2017 Dear Colleague Letter also provides new information about how the Department will assess an educational institution's compliance with Title IX through a set of Questions and Answers.⁴⁴ Together, these documents set forth the Department's revised Title IX policy ("2017 Title IX Policy").

115. The 2017 Title IX Policy departs dramatically from the 2011 and 2014 guidance documents. The 2017 Title IX Policy does not simply rescind the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter and 2014 Q&A. Instead, it affirmatively contradicts Department policies that the 2017 Title IX Policy supposedly kept in effect, including the 2001 Guidance.

⁴² Luke Barnes, *Trump Says It's A 'Very Scary Time for Young Men'*, ThinkProgress, Oct. 2, 2018, <https://thinkprogress.org/trump-kavanaugh-very-scary-time-for-young-men-in-america-e8ed567bc365/>.

⁴³ See 2017 Dear Colleague Letter.

⁴⁴ See 2017 Q&A.

1 116. The 2017 Title IX Policy, among other changes from existing law, imposes the following
2 requirements on schools that weaken protections for sexual harassment survivors:

3 [REDACTED] prohibits educational institutions from issuing interim measures that benefit
4 complainants by minimizing the burden on these students, such as modifications to their work
5 and class schedules or to housing assignments, thereby increasing the risk that survivors of
6 sexual harassment are isolated from their support networks in the aftermath of their experience,
7 *see* 2017 Q&A at 3;

8 [REDACTED] requires educational institutions issuing interim measures to provide such
9 measures to both parties or not at all, thereby burdening survivors and increasing the risk that
10 survivors of sexual harassment are isolated from their support networks in the aftermath of their
11 experience and impeded in their ongoing access to educational benefits and opportunities, *see*
12 *id.*;

13 [REDACTED] requires educational institutions to consider the impact of disciplinary sanctions
14 on a *perpetrator's* access to education, even after finding the individual responsible for sexual
15 harassment or violence and without regard to the survivor's access to education, *id.* at 6;

16 [REDACTED] eliminates the requirement that educational institutions consider the effect of off-
17 campus conduct that does not involve a program or activity of the institution in determining
18 whether there is a hostile environment, *id.* at 1, n. 3.

19 [REDACTED] eliminates the requirement that educational institutions provide appellate rights to
20 both parties, if they are provided at all, and instead permits institutions to provide appellate rights
21 only to the alleged perpetrator, *id.* at 6-7;

1 [REDACTED] permits educational institutions *not* to provide interim measures to protect victims
2 of sexual harassment, including sexual violence from further harassment or violence during the
3 investigation into their complaint, *see* at 2-3;

4 [REDACTED] does not require educational institutions to timely resolve reports of sexual
5 harassment, including sexual violence, *id.* at 3;

6 [REDACTED] permits educational institutions to resolve claims of sexual assault through
7 mediation if both parties consent, notwithstanding, among other concerns, the likelihood that
8 even mediation which is agreed to may retraumatize the victim, *see id.* at 4;⁴⁵

9 [REDACTED] permits educational institutions to use the “clear and convincing evidence” burden
10 of proof in adjudicating claims of sexual harassment, including sexual violence, rather than the
11 equitable standard of “preponderance of evidence,” *id.* at 5;

12 [REDACTED] eliminates the caution against educational institutions relying on criminal
13 investigations as a substitute for their own independent investigations and determinations
14 regarding complaints of sexual harassment, including sexual violence, *cf.* 2014 Q&A at 27;

15 [REDACTED] eliminates the prohibition on permitting an alleged perpetrator to question a
16 complainant’s sexual history with individuals other than the alleged perpetrator, *cf. id.* at 31;

17 [REDACTED] eliminates the prohibition on educational institutions treating a current or previous
18 consensual dating or sexual relationship between parties as implying consent or precluding a
19 filing of sexual violence, *cf. id.* at 31;

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

⁴⁵ *See also* Anne Lawton, *The Emperor’s New Clothes: How the Academy Deals with Sexual Harassment*, 11 Yale J.L. & Feminism 75, 130 (1999) (“[E]ven voluntary mediation can be coercive.”); Grace Watkins, *Sexual Assault Survivor to Betsy DeVos: Mediation is Not a Viable Resolution*, TIME (Oct. 2, 2017), <http://time.com/4957837/campussexual-assault-mediation/>.

1 [FO] fails to provide instructions on how to respond when a complainant requests
2 confidentiality or requests that no investigation or disciplinary action be pursued, *cf. id.* at 18-22;
3 and

4 [FO] eliminates the strong discouragement to educational institutions from permitting
5 alleged perpetrators to directly cross-examine complainants to avoid the perpetuation of a hostile
6 environment, *cf. id.*

7
8 117. The 2017 Title IX Policy definitively changes expectations for recipient institutions,
9 including the expectations outlined in the longstanding 2001 Guidance, which was the product of
10 notice and comment procedures (unlike the 2017 Title IX Policy) and remains in effect. For
11 example, the 2001 Guidance stated that in “alleged sexual violence, mediation will not be
12 appropriate even on a voluntary basis,” 2001 Guidance at 21, while the 2017 Title IX Policy
13 explicitly permits mediation in all cases where the parties consent. 2017 Q&A at 4.

14
15 118. The 2017 Title IX Policy also sets forth expectations and mandates on educational
16 institutions that are inconsistent with the statutory text of Title IX and its implementing
17 regulations, including, but not limited to, the following: providing for one-sided appellate rights
18 favoring the accused; permitting schools to adopt a higher burden of proof—clear and
19 convincing evidence—for adjudicating complaints of sexual harassment, including sexual
20 violence; considering the impact that particular sanctions would have on a perpetrator’s access to
21 education after being found responsible for sexual harassment, including sexual violence, under
22 Title IX; and departing from prior policy requiring interim measures be issued to promptly
23 remedy the hostile environment for the complainant of sexual harassment.

24
25 119. Ironically, Defendants issued the 2017 Title IX Policy and rescinded the 2011 and 2014
26 guidance documents without any opportunity for public notice and comment on the new policy
27
28

1 despite stating that the “era of rule by letter is over.”⁴⁶ The Department stated that it would “craft
2 a new Title IX regulation” after a period of notice and comment.⁴⁷ Yet, more than a year later,
3 the Department has not done so. (An apparently leaked draft of a proposed regulation was
4 reported on last month, but the Department has not made the proposed regulation public).

5
6 120. In promulgating the 2017 Title IX Policy, Defendants relied on multiple errors of fact and
7 law, underscoring that the Department’s policy reversal is based not on reasoned justifications,
8 but on discriminatory views of women and girls who allege sexual harassment. Among these
9 errors, the 2017 Dear Colleague letter makes several claims about the effects of the rescinded
10 guidance, stating, for example, that it had “led to the deprivation of rights for many students—
11 both the accused students denied fair process and victims denied an adequate resolution of their
12 complaints.” 2017 Dear Colleague Letter at 1-2. On the contrary, the prior policy did not require
13 schools to limit due process or basic fairness protections for alleged perpetrators. While there are
14 anecdotes of schools making errors in providing these procedural protections, such errors were
15 neither required by, nor the result of, the 2011 and 2014 guidance documents.

16
17 121. The 2011 and 2014 guidance documents clarified the requirements that Title IX imposes
18 on educational institutions to respond to complaints of sexual harassment and the protections it
19 requires for complainants. As such, they empowered students to make complaints of sexual
20 harassment, including sexual violence through campus complaint processes. The 2017 Title IX
21 Policy does not acknowledge or otherwise account for the reliance interests that students, or
22 organizations that work with students, have in these protections. A student who filed a complaint
23 regarding sexual violence before the issuance of the 2017 Title IX Policy would have been
24

25 ⁴⁶ Press Release, U.S. Dep’t of Educ., Dep’t of Educ. Issues New Interim Guidance on Campus
26 Sexual Misconduct, (Sept. 22, 2017), <https://www.ed.gov/news/press-releases/departments-education-issues-new-interim-guidance-campus-sexual-misconduct>.

27 ⁴⁷ *Id.*
28

1 assured that her educational institution should resolve the investigation within about sixty days,
2 and among other protections, she would not be cross-examined by the person who allegedly
3 assaulted her. For those students whose complaints were pending in the fall of 2017, no such
4 assurances remain.

5
6 122. The 2017 Title IX Policy's retrenchment on Title IX protections for victims of sexual
7 harassment, including sexual violence, in educational institutions was motivated by stereotypical
8 assumptions and overbroad generalizations about girls and women. Limiting Title IX's
9 protections disproportionately impacts female students, a fact which motivated decisionmakers.
10 Specifically, decisionmakers at the Department hold the discriminatory views, as evidenced by
11 Secretary DeVos's and Ms. Jackson's statements and coordination with others who hold the
12 same views, that (1) many girls and women who report sexual harassment, including sexual
13 violence, have misunderstood a harmless romantic advance and (2) most girls and women who
14 report sexual violence either are lying or have regret about a consensual sexual encounters. By
15 reducing protections for survivors, in the Department's view, they would reduce and discourage
16 women and girls from making such reports of their sexual assaults, reports that Department
17 decisionmakers tend not to believe.
18

19 **The Devastating Effects of the Department's 2017 Title IX Policy**

20
21 123. The 2017 Title IX Policy curtails important protections against sexual harassment. This
22 rollback has had devastating effects on students' equal access to educational opportunity, which
23 is all too often thwarted on the basis of their sex.

24 124. Following the issuance of the 2017 Title IX Policy, schools have modified and/or stated
25 their intention to modify their practices. For example, in the weeks following the issuance of the
26 2017 Title IX Policy, the South Dakota Board of Regents proposed "emergency revisions" to its
27
28

1 Title IX policy that “were required to comply with the Interim Guidance [i.e., 2017 Title IX
 2 Policy] issued on September 22, 2017.”⁴⁸ The Board identified “revisions ... to comply with the
 3 requirements contained in the Interim Guidance.”⁴⁹ It ultimately made a host of changes to its
 4 policy to “align with” and “follow[]” the Interim Guidance.⁵⁰ The changes made by South
 5 Dakota Board of Regents include, among others, modifications to its policy on interim measures,
 6 sanctions, and mediation to “explicitly incorporate language contained in the interim
 7 guidance.”⁵¹ As another example, following issuance of the 2017 Title IX Policy and after the
 8 Department closed an investigation into the handling of campus sexual violence at the University
 9 of Houston, the university’s spokesman stated that, in light of the current guidance, the
 10 university may make some changes to how it adjudicates sexual misconduct violations to “better
 11 align with the [Department of Education’s] expectations to how we ensure due process.”⁵² In
 12 addition, the University of Michigan has changed its Title IX policy to adopt the option of
 13
 14

15
 16 ⁴⁸ South Dakota Board of Regents, *Minutes of the Meeting*, Oct. 3-5, 2017, at
 20, https://www.sdbor.edu/the-board/minutes/Documents/BOR_Minutes_102017.pdf

17 ⁴⁹ South Dakota Board of Regents, *Title IX Interim Guidance*, Oct. 3-5, 2017, at 1,
 18 [https://www.sdbor.edu/the-](https://www.sdbor.edu/the-board/agendaitems/2014AgendaItems/2017%20Agenda%20Items/October0317/5_O_BOR1017.pdf)
 19 [board/agendaitems/2014AgendaItems/2017%20Agenda%20Items/October0317/5_O_BOR1017.](https://www.sdbor.edu/the-board/agendaitems/2014AgendaItems/2017%20Agenda%20Items/October0317/5_O_BOR1017.pdf)
 pdf.

20 ⁵⁰ South Dakota Board of Regents, *Minutes of the Meeting*, Dec. 5-7, 2017, at 30,
https://www.sdbor.edu/the-board/minutes/Documents/BOR_Minutes_1217_DRAFT.pdf

21 ⁵¹ South Dakota Board of Regents, *Title IX Interim Guidance, Revisions to Board Policies*, Dec.
 22 5-7, 2017, at 1, [https://www.sdbor.edu/the-](https://www.sdbor.edu/the-board/agendaitems/2014AgendaItems/2017%20Agenda%20Items/December17/7_E_BOR1217_REVISED.pdf)
 23 [board/agendaitems/2014AgendaItems/2017%20Agenda%20Items/December17/7_E_BOR1217_](https://www.sdbor.edu/the-board/agendaitems/2014AgendaItems/2017%20Agenda%20Items/December17/7_E_BOR1217_REVISED.pdf)
 24 [REVISED.pdf](https://www.sdbor.edu/the-board/agendaitems/2014AgendaItems/2017%20Agenda%20Items/December17/7_E_BOR1217_REVISED.pdf); *see also* Danielle Ferguson, *South Dakota Board of Regents Passes Interim Title*
 25 *IX Sexual Assault Guidelines*, Argus Leader, Dec. 7, 2017, [http://www.argusleader.com/](http://www.argusleader.com/story/news/2017/12/07/regents-pass-interim-title-ix-sexual-assault-guidelines/926445001/)
 story/news/2017/12/07/regents-pass-interim-title-ix-sexual-assault-guidelines/926445001/ (South
 Dakota State University vice president of student affairs stating that some of the changes were
 “substantive” but claiming that “most aren’t”).

26 ⁵² Lindsay Ellis, *Feds Close Title IX Investigation Into University of Houston*, Chron, Oct. 4,
 27 2017, [http://www.chron.com/local/education/campus-chronicles/article/Feds-close-Title-IX-](http://www.chron.com/local/education/campus-chronicles/article/Feds-close-Title-IX-investigation-into-University-12253555.php)
 investigation-into-University-12253555.php.

1 mediation between an accused student and a survivor in cases of sexual assault. This new
 2 University of Michigan policy resulted from the Title IX policy change.⁵³ The University of
 3 Kentucky has also adopted new policies regarding disciplinary procedures relating to sexual
 4 assault, among which it now only permits appeals by students found responsible for sexual
 5 assault, not for the survivor.⁵⁴

7 125. Following the issuance of the 2017 Title IX Policy, universities have also changed their
 8 policies in such a way that could delay resolution of reports of sexual misconduct, including
 9 sexual assault.⁵⁵

10 126. Following the issuance of the 2017 Title IX Policy, the Department has begun to modify
 11 and limit its ongoing investigations and analysis of University responses to reports of sexual
 12 misconduct, including sexual violence, to conform to the new Title IX policy. For example, in
 13 June 2018 OCR issued a Letter of Findings against the University of North Carolina (“UNC”)
 14

15
 16 ⁵³Jeremy Bauer-Wolf, *Mediating Sexual Assault*, Inside Higher Ed, Feb. 20,
 17 2018, [https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/02/20/university-michigan-will-now-allow-](https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/02/20/university-michigan-will-now-allow-mediation-some-sexual-assault-cases)
 18 [mediation-some-sexual-assault-cases](https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/02/20/university-michigan-will-now-allow-mediation-some-sexual-assault-cases); Rick Fitzgerald, *U-M Revises Student Sexual and Gender-*
 19 *Based Misconduct Policy*, The Univ. Record, Feb. 1, 2018, [https://record.umich.edu/articles/u-](https://record.umich.edu/articles/u-m-revises-student-sexual-gender-based-misconduct-policy)
 20 [m-revises-student-sexual-gender-based-misconduct-policy](https://record.umich.edu/articles/u-m-revises-student-sexual-gender-based-misconduct-policy) (University of Michigan Public
 21 Affairs Office explains that “[t]he change [regarding mediation] also reflects the most recent
 22 guidance from the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights.”).

23 ⁵⁴Jacob Eads, *UK Administration Overhauls Disciplinary Policies Regarding Sexual Assault*
 24 *Claims*, Kentucky Kernel, June 20, 2018, [http://www.kykernel.com/news/uk-administration-](http://www.kykernel.com/news/uk-administration-overhauls-disciplinary-policies-regarding-sexual-assault-claims/article_d9808d74-74c2-11e8-8509-67e774e9238c.html)
 25 [overhauls-disciplinary-policies-regarding-sexual-assault-claims/article_d9808d74-74c2-11e8-](http://www.kykernel.com/news/uk-administration-overhauls-disciplinary-policies-regarding-sexual-assault-claims/article_d9808d74-74c2-11e8-8509-67e774e9238c.html)
 26 [8509-67e774e9238c.html](http://www.kykernel.com/news/uk-administration-overhauls-disciplinary-policies-regarding-sexual-assault-claims/article_d9808d74-74c2-11e8-8509-67e774e9238c.html).

27 ⁵⁵ Press Release, Grand Valley State Univ., Update on the Impact of Interim Q&A Related to
 28 Title IX (Oct. 2, 2017), [https://www.gvsu.edu/inclusion/module-news-](https://www.gvsu.edu/inclusion/module-news-view.htm?storyId=B4C32E26-0CC1-44BC-CCF964C4D07C10C3&siteModuleId=6D5DCE61-CC95-4B12-A9C94F3632A6F3DD)
 view.htm?storyId=B4C32E26-0CC1-44BC-CCF964C4D07C10C3&siteModuleId=6D5DCE61-
 CC95-4B12-A9C94F3632A6F3DD (Grand Valley State University announcing it will remove
 its 60-day time frame for investigations.); Kara Coleman, *Auburn University Re-Evaluating Title*
IX Policies and Procedures, Feb. 9, 2018, [www.oanow.com/news/auburnuniversity/auburn-](http://www.oanow.com/news/auburnuniversity/auburn-university-re-evaluating-title-ix-policies-and-procedures/article_16b90e14-ecf7-507c-a390-85c0ad88e538.html)
 university-re-evaluating-title-ix-policies-and-procedures/article_16b90e14-ecf7-507c-a390-
 85c0ad88e538.html (Auburn University removed its 60-day time frame sometime “before
 Christmas” and now allows for “reasonable time,” which can be “three or four months.”).

1 stating that UNC's sexual harassment policy was not equitable because it did not allow for both
2 parties to appeal.⁵⁶ Questioned about how this finding was consistent with the new Policy,
3 "Department spokeswoman Liz Hill said that OCR is in the process of correcting its
4 correspondence with the university to let it know [UNC's] policy is consistent with the
5 department's temporary rules."⁵⁷ But for the new Policy, UNC would have remained subject to a
6 finding of noncompliance for the unequal appeal rights it permits, and would have been required
7 to change its practices through a binding Resolution Agreement. Now, due solely to the new
8 Policy, UNC need not enter into a legally-enforceable agreement with OCR or be subject to a
9 proceeding seeking to withdrawal of federal funds.

11 127. Following the issuance of the 2017 Title IX Policy, numerous individuals who have been
12 the subject of sexual violence or harassment have expressed to the Plaintiffs a hesitance or
13 unwillingness to report their incidents to their school authorities, citing the Department's 2017
14 Title IX Policy as the reason for their hesitation or unwillingness.

16 128. These devastating consequences have overwhelmingly and disproportionately harmed
17 women and girls, among other populations that are disproportionately likely to be targeted for
18 sexual harassment and violence.

19 129. As outlined more fully in paragraphs 10-34, the consequences of the Department's 2017
20 Title IX Policy have harmed and continue to harm Plaintiffs. Indeed, Plaintiffs are organizations
21 that assist and counsel survivors of sexual harassment, including sexual violence. As a result of
22

23 ⁵⁶ Compl. Ltr. and Resolution Agreement, UNC & OCR (June 2018), [https://www.unc.edu/wp-](https://www.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/FINAL-R-LOF-UNC-Chapel-Hill-11132051-PDF-1.pdf)
24 [content/uploads/2018/06/FINAL-R-LOF-UNC-Chapel-Hill-11132051-PDF-1.pdf](https://www.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/FINAL-R-LOF-UNC-Chapel-Hill-11132051-PDF-1.pdf). ED seems to
25 no longer be publishing resolution agreements as a matter of course. None appear on its website
26 post-dating the 2017 Title IX Policy, making it difficult to find additional examples.

26 ⁵⁷ Jeremy Bauer-Wolf, *The "Confusing" Case of UNC's Title IX Violations*, Inside Higher Ed,
27 (June 27, 2018), [https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/06/27/unc-found-have-violated-](https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/06/27/unc-found-have-violated-title-ix-multiyear-investigation)
28 [title-ix-multiyear-investigation](https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/06/27/unc-found-have-violated-title-ix-multiyear-investigation).

1 the chilling effect that the Department's change in policy has had and continues to have on
2 reporting sexual assault and other forms of sexual harassment, Plaintiffs are unable to meet their
3 missions of serving survivors of sexual violence and other forms of sexual harassment. Further,
4 Plaintiffs have had to expend resources over and above normal levels to combat underreporting
5 that has resulted from the Department's change in policy, causing a diversion of resources away
6 from their other core programmatic activities.

7
8 130. The effects of the 2017 Title IX Policy have also required Plaintiffs to expend resources
9 over and above their normal levels to combat confusion among survivors and educational
10 institutions and to educate both on the requirements of Title IX's antidiscrimination provisions.
11 These resource expenditures have taken away from Plaintiffs' other core programmatic activities,
12 causing a diversion of resources.

13
14 **Plaintiff Organizations' Relationship to Female Survivors of Sexual Violence and Barriers**
15 **Those Women and Girls Face to Pursuing Federal Litigation to Challenge the**
Discriminatory 2017 Policy

16 131. Plaintiff organizations have a close relationship with the women and girls they serve.
17 Each organization has attorney-client relationships with women and girl students who they
18 represent in Title IX proceedings before schools.

19 132. As set forth above in paragraphs 10-34, Plaintiffs' missions are aligned with advancing
20 the interests of survivors of sexual harassment and assault, most of whom are women and girls,
21 and protecting their clients, survivors of sexual harassment and assault, from discriminatory
22 treatment in their schools' Title IX processes. In addition to the legal services they provide to
23 their clients, Plaintiffs' other work reveals the alignment of their interests with those of
24 survivors.

25
26 133. For example, SurvJustice provides training to schools to prevent and address sexual and
27
28

1 gender-based violence to ensure compliance with federal law, enforcement of victim rights, and
2 development of a culture that supports survivors and encourages sexual respect. SurvJustice also
3 engages in policy advocacy to promote robust protections for survivors and to dispel rape myths
4 about women and girls like those advocated by Ms. Jackson in her statement to the New York
5 Times.

6
7 134. VRLC provides trainings to lawyers, advocates, and educators regarding sexual violence
8 on college campuses, including providing up to date research on who victims are, who
9 perpetrators are, how sexual violence is committed on campus, and on campus best practices to
10 prevent and respond to sexual violence.

11 135. ERA's mission is to fight for women's equality and combat gender discrimination in
12 education and employment, and it pursues this mission on behalf of students who have been
13 sexually harassed and assaulted. In addition to providing direct legal assistance, including free
14 advice and counseling, to such individuals, ERA represents them in litigation against schools,
15 school districts, and universities. ERA also files amicus briefs in cases involving the
16 interpretation and enforcement of anti-discrimination and other civil rights laws; provides
17 technical assistance and serves as a consultant to other attorneys representing women and girls in
18 Title IX cases and related civil litigation; connects victims of sexual harassment, including
19 sexual violence, to pro bono or other private attorneys; publishes and amplifies communications
20 in which women and girls use their voices to advocate on issues related to gender justice;
21 partners with student activists and leaders to conduct outreach and education to promote gender
22 equity and awareness of Title IX-related rights in schools; and advocates for policies that
23 promote gender equity in education at the local, state, and federal level, including policies that
24 protect full and equal access to education for victims of sexual assault and harassment.
25
26
27
28

1 136. Individual women and girls affected by the discriminatory 2017 Title IX Policy face
2 barriers to bringing litigation on their own behalf to challenge the Policy as discriminatory.

3 137. The desire to maintain confidentiality is a significant barrier to students bringing a federal
4 lawsuit to challenge the discriminatory Policy. Women and girls considering reporting sexual
5 assault and harassment do so at the risk of having their sexual assault or harassment made public,
6 an experience which many prefer to keep private and which still carries significant social stigma.
7 Each organization has observed its clients wrestling with whether to report an experience of
8 sexual assault or harassment against the risk of loss of confidentiality; many women and girls
9 ultimately decide not to make such reports in favor of not losing their privacy. Pursuing federal
10 litigation creates just as much, if not more, risk of publicity.
11

12 138. The loss of confidentiality also carries practical concerns for students. For example,
13 VRLC clients have expressed concerns about the impact on their future career prospects if they
14 bring complaints forward at their institution, much less in a federal court. This concern is
15 especially true for those graduate students and PhD candidates who have been harassed or
16 assaulted by individuals who are powerful within their field. Coupled with the safety concerns,
17 the implication of having a future employer know they have been sexually assaulted, resulting
18 from lost confidentiality following reporting, plus the potential for retaliation or stalking, victims
19 are often placed in an untenable position of choosing between fighting for their rights in the
20 present and navigating career implications for their future.
21

22 139. The possibility of retraumatization is also a barrier to many students in bringing a federal
23 case to challenge the discriminatory Policy. SurvJustice and ERA have observed their clients
24 being retraumatized by schools' hostile, retaliatory, and dilatory responses to their reports of
25 sexual violence, which have grown more common in the wake of the 2017 Title IX policy. In
26
27
28

1 particular, ERA has observed institutional responses, which include but are not limited to long
2 delays in initiating and/or completing investigations, victim-blaming investigation tactics,
3 reversals of the role of victim and offender through, *e.g.*, automatically issuing and enforcing
4 mutual no-contact orders *against* students who report sexual assault and encouraging or
5 facilitating facially retaliatory counter-complaints by respondents against victims, compound the
6 trauma caused by being sexually assaulted. Plaintiffs' clients would face the prospect of further
7 re-traumatization by participation in a federal lawsuit.

9 140. Participation in a federal lawsuit raises safety concerns for women and girl survivors of
10 sexual assault and harassment. Each organization has clients who have experienced retaliation
11 after reporting sexual assault or harassment, either by their assailant or by third-parties (such as
12 peers, coaches, or professors), and clients who have experienced post-reporting stalking or other
13 harassment by the assailant. All three Plaintiffs have observed that no-contact orders are
14 frequently insufficient to protect survivors' safety and/or are ignored at an institutional level.
15 ERA also has observed an increase in the frequency of institutions making no-contact orders
16 *mutual*, thereby automatically subjecting students who report sexual assault to restrictions on
17 their movement and access to educational programs, privileges, and activities and making
18 complainants subject to discipline for otherwise permissible conduct. Inadequate responses by
19 schools to students' reports of sexual harassment and assault have led SurvJustice's clients to
20 believe that their experiences were not taken seriously and that their safety is not important.
21 Individual participation in a federal lawsuit with its attendant spotlight—on the assailant and the
22 school—would exacerbate these safety risks by creating additional motivation to retaliate.
23
24

25 141. Fear of retaliation is also a barrier against pursuing federal litigation to challenge the
26 discriminatory Policy. Many of the Plaintiff organizations' clients remain students at the schools
27
28

1 where they were assaulted. These students have been subject to retaliation, not only from the
 2 harasser, but from the school itself. For example, VRLC has observed students encountering
 3 barriers to accessing appropriate classes for an on-time graduation and additional procedural
 4 requirements for graduation, such as meeting with a new “advisor.” SurvJustice’s clients have
 5 also expressed fear of being retaliated against for going public with their experience of sexual
 6 assault and harassment. Becoming a named plaintiff in a federal lawsuit would likely exacerbate
 7 those retaliatory responses if the portrayal of the school’s Title IX process in the lawsuit was
 8 critical.
 9

10 142. In addition, Plaintiffs have observed practical and procedural barriers to individual
 11 students being able to bring a constitutional claim or effectively vindicate their constitutional
 12 rights through a lawsuit challenging the 2017 Title IX Policy. The student’s claim as to the
 13 Department’s Policy likely would not be ripe until after she had been sexually assaulted and
 14 proceeded through the campus Title IX process to completion. Even if she had not graduated by
 15 the time the campus Title IX process concluded, it is extremely likely that she would have
 16 graduated (or been pushed out of school) by the time she could obtain relief in a federal lawsuit,
 17 making any requests for relief practically – if not legally – moot.
 18

19 **Claims for Relief**

20 **Count One**

21 **(Violation of the Equal Protection Guarantee of the Fifth Amendment)**

22 143. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

23 144. The Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution
 24 forbids the federal government from denying equal protection of the laws, including by
 25 discriminating on the basis of sex.
 26
 27
 28

1 145. In issuing the 2017 Title IX Policy, Defendants were motivated, at least in part, by their
2 discriminatory—and baseless—gender stereotype that many women and girls lack credibility
3 with regard to sexual harassment and assault. Such stereotype includes the perception that
4 women and girls who report sexual harassment misunderstood a harmless romantic advance and
5 that those who report sexual violence are often either lying or have regret about a consensual
6 sexual encounter.
7

8 146. The statements and actions of Secretary DeVos and Ms. Jackson, as well as the
9 circumstances under which the 2017 Title IX Policy change was issued, further demonstrate that
10 Defendants issued the 2017 Title IX Policy knowing it would have a disparate impact on women,
11 who constitute the overwhelming majority of sexual harassment and assault survivors, by
12 reducing federal protections for victims of sexual harassment and assault. They took this action
13 not despite this impact on women, but because of it.
14

15 147. Defendants, in issuing the 2017 Title IX Policy based on gender stereotyped
16 assumptions—as evidenced by decisionmakers’ statements and reliance on and solicitation of
17 input from groups espousing those very assumptions, departing from normal agency processes,
18 acting with the knowledge that the policy would disproportionately impact female students, and
19 motivated by that disproportionate impact—discriminated on the basis of sex in violation of the
20 Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.
21

22 148. As a result of Defendants’ unlawful actions, Plaintiffs have been harmed and their
23 missions frustrated, as outlined more fully in paragraphs 10-34 above.
24

25 **Count Two**
(Ultra Vires Action)⁵⁸

26
27 ⁵⁸ Plaintiffs are not alleging additional facts in support of their *ultra vires* claim, but maintain it
28 for the purpose of appeal, if necessary.

149. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

150. This Court has the power to set aside actions by the Executive Branch that are *ultra vires* (*i.e.*, without legal authority).

151. In adopting the 2017 Title IX policy and permitting recipients of federal funding to adopt policies that disadvantage victims of sexual harassment, including sexual violence, and benefit the alleged perpetrators, such as by permitting educational institutions to provide one-sided appellate rights, and in considering factors unrelated to Title IX's mandate in doing so, Defendants have acted in excess of their legal authority.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court:

1. Declare the Dear Colleague Letter and the Q&A issued in September 2017 unlawful;
2. Issue an injunction ordering Defendants to vacate the Dear Colleague Letter and the Q&A issued in September 2017;
3. Award Plaintiffs costs, attorneys' fees, and other disbursements for this action; and
4. Grant any other relief this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 31, 2018

/s/ Robin F. Thurston

Alice Y. Abrokwa*

Leecia Welch (CA Bar No. 208741)

National Center for Youth Law

405 14th Street, 15th Floor

Oakland, CA 94612, *and*

1313 L Street, NW, Suite 130

Washington, DC 20005

Ph: (510) 835-8098

Fax: (510) 835-8099

Emails: lwelch@youthlaw.org, aabrokwa@youthlaw.org

Jennifer A. Reisch (CA Bar No. 223671)

Equal Rights Advocates

1170 Market Street, Suite 700

San Francisco, CA 94102

Ph: (415) 896-0672

Fax: (415) 231-0011

Email: jreish@equalrightsadvocates.org

Javier M. Guzman*

Robin Thurston*

Karianne Jones*

Democracy Forward Foundation

1333 H St. NW

Washington, DC 20005

Ph: (202) 448-9090

Fax: (202) 701-1775

Emails: jguzman@democracyforward.org, rthurston@democracyforward.org,

kjones@democracyforward.org

Emily Martin*

Neena Chaudhry*

Sunu Chandy*

National Women's Law Center

11 Dupont Circle, NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20036

Ph: (202) 588-5180

Fax: (202) 588-5185

Emails: emartin@nwlc.org, nchaudhry@nwlc.org, etang@nwlc.org, schandy@nwlc.org,

**Admitted pro hac vice*

Counsel for Plaintiffs